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## 1. Introduction

The Eastern Iowa Airport (CID) is owned by the City of Cedar Rapids and operated by the Cedar Rapids Airport Commission. Airmail service into Cedar Rapids began on July 10, 1928. Due to inoperable conditions during bad weather, the old airport was phased out and in 1947 a new airport was dedicated in Cedar Rapids, which is the present location. The terminal has been modernized and the highway access has been reconstructed and made more convenient.

The airport has two runways that are grooved concrete, precision instrument landing procedures to both runways for all weather operations, an air traffic control tower and all the other amenities of a twenty-first century airport. Three airlines provide an average of 30 flights per day, Sunday-Friday and an average of 20 flights on Saturdays. Those flights connect in Denver, Dallas, Chicago, Atlanta and several other cities. The number of enplanements in 2011 reached 439,025, down from a historical high of 531,000 in 2007.

Mead \& Hunt, Inc. has been working with the Airport Commission to develop a Master Plan which assesses facility needs over a 20-year period.

This memorandum summarizes the traffic study, part of the Master Plan, which assesses quality of service at the intersections and roads that provide access to the terminal, for
the years 2012, 2022 and 2032. It also summarizes the capacity at the curbside pickup/drop off area for the same years.

The traffic study area encompasses the access to the existing terminal (in and out), the intersection with $6^{\text {th }}$ Street SW and the I-380 interchange ramp terminals, as listed below.

- STH 84 (Wright Brother Boulevard W SW) with Arthur Collins Parkway SW
- STH 84 (Wright Brother Boulevard W SW) with 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
- Lippisch Place with $18^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
- STH 84 (Wright Brother Boulevard W SW) with I-380 SB Ramp Terminal
- STH 84 (Wright Brother Boulevard W SW) with I-380 NB Ramp Terminal

The traffic analysis was conducted at a planning level, where the main goal is determine the overall quality of service and improvements needed. Therefore, the analysis outputs should not be employed to provide detailed information for design purposes, such as length of turning bay lanes, signal phasing and times, queue lengths, among others.

The traffic study aims to determine existing capacity and future improvements required to keep the level of services (LOS) at or above desirable levels.

The capacity study at the pickup/drop off area aims to assess terminal LOS and required expansion, if any, to attend future demand.

## 2. Traffic Data Collection

No data collection was conducted. Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADTs) for USH 84 and I-380 were obtained through Iowa Department of Transportation's web page. Turning movements at the intersections of USH 84 with both I- 380 ramp terminals were provided by the Airport. These data can be found in Appendix 1.

Annual number of enplanements and daily number of flights for peak day of the peak month were obtained from the Easter Iowa Airport Master Plan, prepared by Mead \& Hunt in January 2013, as part of the same project.

## 3. Airport Traffic Demand

The number of trips generated by the terminal was calculated using the Trip Generation, $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), based on daily number of flights for the peak day of the peak month. The ITE tables used in this study can be found in Appendix 2.

Available data concerning daily number of flights included only historical series. Therefore, daily number of flights was updated for the study year, 10 years after study and design year assuming the same growth rate as the annual number of enplanements, whose forecast has been previously developed by Mead \& Hunt. That previous forecast was originally developed for 2011, 2021 and 2031 and was updated for 2012, 2022 and 2032 using the same growth rate.

The ITE study that generated the trip rates involved few airports with diverse operational characteristics and of different sizes. In that study, the average daily number of flights is 349, which is significantly higher than the average observed at CID. Therefore, a number of assumptions were made, considering that:

- CID is a regional airport, connecting to major airports nationwide. At CID, the peak of the demand may not occur at the periods observed at the major regional and national airports.
- Also, it is widely accepted that trips to/from small airports are generally made by personal cars and that transit is almost non-existent.

Accordingly, a higher than average trip rate, although within the acceptable range, was used to calculate the number of trips. Specifically, the peak hours of the generator, instead of the street, was utilized.

The number of trips was calculated for the peak day of the month in AM and PM peak hours for the years 2012 (current), 2022 (10 years after study) and 2032 (design year), as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 - Trip Generation

| Study Year | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour | AM |  | PM | AM |  | PM | AM |  | PM |
| Number of daily Flights | 66 |  | 83 |  | 107 |  |  |  |  |
| Number <br> of trips <br> Generated | Total | 625 | 554 | 852 | 755 | 1041 | 922 |  |  |
|  | In | 288 | 266 | 393 | 362 | 479 | 443 |  |  |
|  | Out | 337 | 288 | 459 | 393 | 562 | 479 |  |  |

## 4. Base Year and Future Years Network Development

While establishing the scope of this project, three study years were designated for traffic projection and analysis within the study area, following the planning study being prepared by Mead \& Hunt, Inc. Therefore, traffic forecasts were developed for the years 2012 (current), 2022 and 2032 (this one as the Design Year).

Forecasts for the Years of 2012 were developed based solely on historical AADT growth. Forecasts for 2022 and 2032 used both the historical AADT series available plus the projected number of trips generated by the growth in daily number of enplanements.

## 5. Traffic Analysis

Traffic analysis for 2012, 2022 and 2032 was run for all five (5) intersections previously identified. Traffic turning volumes for all five (5) intersections are listed in Appendix 3. The primary metric by which transportation professionals assess quality of operations is level of service (LOS). The Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000) contains the specific methodologies used to determine this metric for various facility types (freeway sections, weaving areas, ramps, signalized intersections, and unsignalized intersections). Chapter 2 includes the following definition:

Quality of service requires quantitative measures to characterize operational conditions within a traffic stream. Level of service (LOS) is a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, generally in terms of such service measures as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, and comfort and convenience.

Six LOS are defined for each type of facility that has analysis procedures available. Letters designate each level, from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Each level of service represents a range of operating conditions and the driver's perception of those conditions. Safety is not included in the measures that establish service levels.

Most design or planning efforts typically use service flow rates of LOS C or D to ensure an acceptable operating service for facility users.

The quantitative measures for LOS vary amongst various facility types. For unsignalized and signalized intersections, LOS declines as the vehicle delay increases. In all cases, LOS C has been established as the minimum benchmark of acceptability. Any location falling below that threshold would require some type of corrective action (such as added turn lanes, signalization, or added travel lanes) to return to acceptable operations.

The term Level of Service (LOS) is used as a measure of a roadway's operational performance. National guidelines for appropriate LOS on different types of roadways have been developed by the Transportation Research Board and adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. In turn, these national guidelines have been adopted by state transportation agencies, including Iowa DOT.

LOS designations range from A to F, with LOS A exhibiting free-flow traffic, and LOS F exhibiting severe congestion that approaches gridlock. LOS designations for intersections are related to the average delay each vehicle experiences while passing through in intersection. Iowa DOT typically designates LOS D as the minimum acceptable LOS for intersection traffic operations. Table 2 summarizes LOS designations for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2 - Intersection LOS Designations

| LOS | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections <br> (Two-Way Stop Controlled) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Delay per Vehicle (s/veh) <br> for All Entering Traffic | Average Delay per Vehicle (s/veh) <br> for Each Minor Movement |
|  | $<10.0$ | $<10.0$ |
| B | $10.1-20.0$ | $10.1-15.0$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0$ | $15.1-25.0$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0$ | $25.1-35.0$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0$ | $35.1-50.0$ |
| F | $>80.0$ | $>50.0$ |

For this study, the road network was built using Synchro 8.0. Level of Service (LOS) was assessed only for the intersection movements that are included in the routes from the airport terminal to the cities of Cedar Rapids and Iowa City, via STH 84 and I-380, and to the east and west directions, via STH 84.

## 6. Intersections Operational Analysis Results

For all signalized intersections, an overall LOS could be taken from the HCM analyses. However, for unsignalized intersections, where LOS is reported for both Minor and Major Street Approaches, results are summarized only in tabular format in the following sections.

The results and comments have been separated into the two following sections for analysis under current conditions and analysis after geometric improvements.

## a. Traffic Analysis - Current Geometry and Control

Intersection analyses were performed for all five intersections previously listed using Synchro 8.0 and optimal traffic signal timings at the signalized intersections. Basic levels of service for all intersection movements along the routes Airport - Cedar Rapids, Airport - Iowa City, Airport to both East and West via STH 84 are shown in Tables 1 through 7 along with comments on results. The LOS tables do not include the movements that are not part of these main routes. The outputs to the models can be found in Appendix 4.

Note for all the LOS tables:
LT, TH and RT men left turn, through, and right turn, respectively.
NB, SB, EB and WB mean northbound, southbound, eastbound and westbound, respectively.

## Unsignalized Intersections

Table 3 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with Lippisch Place (Airport Entrance)

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | TH | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | RT | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| WB | LT | A | A | A | A | A | A |
|  | TH | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

The intersection of STH 84 with Lippisch Place currently operates under a two-way stop control (TWSC), where the north-south directions are free-flow. As the results in Table 3 show, the LOS on the WB LT movement (the only one subject to control) will operate at LOS equal to A until 2032 and, therefore, no improvements are being recommended.

Table 4 - LOS at the Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Exit) with $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ St SW

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | LT | B | B | C | C | E | F |
|  | RT | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| NB | TH | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| SB | TH | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Shaded areas denote the occurrences of unacceptable levels of service reported by the analyses.

The intersection of Lippisch Place with $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{SW}$ currently operates under a two-way stop control (TWSC), where the east-west directions are free-flow. As the results in Table 4 show, the intersection will operate under acceptable LOS until the year 2022. In 2032, the EB LT will operate at unacceptable LOS of E and F in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Further analysis, under either all-way stop control (AWSC) or traffic signal operation is recommended.

Table 5 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ St SW (Airport Exit)

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| EB | TH/RT | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | LT | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| WB | TH/RT | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | LT | C | B | C | C | E | D |
| NB | TH | B | B | C | C | F | C |
|  | RT | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | LT | E | D | F | F | F | F |
| SB | TH/RT | B | B | C | C | C | C |

Shaded areas denote the occurrences of unacceptable levels of service reported by the analyses.

The intersection of STH 84 with $18^{\text {th }}$ St SW currently operates under a two-way stop control (TWSC), where the east-west directions are free-flow. According to the results in Table 5, the intersection will operate under acceptable LOS until the year 2022. In 2032, the NB LT will operate at unacceptable LOS of D in the AM peak hours. LOS of D, although not desirable, may be acceptable, since that LOS occurs at only one movement. However, further analysis, under traffic signal operations is recommended.

Another problem that appears at this point is the exiting short distance between this intersection and the one immediately south, which causes the NB queues to extend beyond the upstream intersection. This is shown in the outputs of the model, which can be found in Appendix 4. Analysis under signal control is recommended.

Signalized Intersections

Table 6 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Southbound Ramp Terminal

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | TH | A | C | A | C | A | B |
|  | RT | A | C | A | C | A | A |
| WB | LT | B | A | A | B | C | B |
|  | TH | B | A | A | B | A | B |
| SB | LT | B | A | C | A | C | B |
|  | RT | B | A | C | A | C | B |
| Intersection | B | B | A | B | B | B |  |

This intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Southbound Ramp Terminal is currently signalized. According to the results depicted in Table 6, the LOS on all movements will operate at acceptable LOS during all study years. Therefore, no improvements are being recommended.

Table 7 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Northbound Ramp Terminal

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | LT | C | B | E | B | F | C |
|  | TH | A | A | A | B | A | B |
| WB | TH | C | B | A | B | E | A |
| NB | LT | B | A | D | B | D | B |
|  | RT | B | A | C | B | C | B |
| Intersection | C | B | C | B | E | B |  |

Shaded areas denote the occurrences of unacceptable levels of service reported by the analyses.

This intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Northbound Ramp Terminal is currently signalized. The results in Table 7 show that the intersection will operate at desirable LOS until the year 2022, although the EB LT and NB LT in the AM peak hour will operate at LOS of E and D, respectively. In year 2032, several movements will operate at undesirable LOS in the AM peak hour. Further analysis, under an improved geometry, is recommended.

## b. Traffic Analysis - Improved Geometry and Control

Intersection analyses were performed only for the intersections that showed undesirable LOS in one or more of the study years. The analyses were done using Synchro 8.0 and optimal traffic signal timings at the signalized intersections. Basic levels of service for all intersection movements along the routes Airport - Cedar Rapids, Airport -Iowa City, Airport to both East and West via STH 84 s are shown in Tables 8 through 12 along with comments on results. As previously explained, only movements included in the detours have their LOS depicted in the tables.

Table 8 - LOS at the Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Exit) with $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ St SW (AWSC Installed on/before 2032)

|  |  | 2032 AWSC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM |
| EB | LT | F | F |
|  | RT |  |  |
| WB | TH | B | B |
| SB | TH | B | B |
| Intersection |  | F | F |

Shaded areas denote the occurrences of unacceptable levels of service reported by the analyses.

Under AWSC, the intersection of Lippisch Place with $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{SW}$ will still operate at LOS F. Therefore, changing the existing TWSC to AWSC is not recommended.

Table 9 - LOS at the Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Exit) with $18^{\text {th }} \mathbf{S t}$ SW (Traffic Signal Installation on/before 2032)

|  |  | 2032 Signal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM |
| EB | LT | B | B |
|  | RT | A | A |
| WB | TH | B | B |
| SB | TH | B | B |
| Intersection |  | B | B |

Under signalized operation, the intersection of Lippisch Place with $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ SW as well as all intersection movements will operate at LOS equal to $B$ or better. No geometric improvements will be required.

Table 10 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ St SW (Airport Exit) (Traffic Signal Installation on/before 2022)

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | A | A | B | A |
| EB | TH | A | A | A | A |
|  | RT | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | LT | A | A | A | A |
| WB | TH | A | A | B | B |
|  | RT | A | A | A | A |
|  | LT | A | A | B | B |
| NB | TH | A | A | B | B |
|  | RT | C | C | B | B |
|  | LT | A | A | B | B |
| SB | TH | A | A | B | A |
|  | RT | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Intersection |  | B | B | C | C |

Under signalized operation, the intersection of STH 84 with $18^{\text {th }}$ St SW, as well as all movements will operate at LOS of C or better in both 2022 and 2032. Geometric improvements, such as additional right turn bay lanes on the EB, WB and SB approaches, will be required.

An additional problem that appears at this point is the exiting short distance between this intersection and the one immediately south, which is also being studied as signalized.
That short distance may cause the NB queues to extend beyond the upstream signal. This is shown in the outputs to the model, which can be found in Appendix 4. Installing traffic signals alone will not resolve this problem. To resolve this problem, it is necessary to reconstruct the intersection of $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ with Lippisch Place further south. Before making such a recommendation, however, a more detailed analysis, at the design level, must be developed.

Table 11 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Southbound Ramp Terminal (Additional Turning Lanes at the WB and EB Approaches)

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | TH | B | C | B | C |
|  | RT | A | C | A | C |
| WB | LT | B | A | B | A |
|  | TH | B | A | B | B |
| SB | LT | B | B | C | A |
|  | RT | B | B | C | A |
| Intersection |  | B | B | B | B |

The intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Southbound Ramp Terminal is currently signalized and no change in control is being proposed. However, an improved geometry with the addition of turning lanes at both the WB and EB approaches has been proposed, which results in improved traffic operations. The summary results in Table 11 shows that the intersection will operate at desirable LOS equal to B in both peak hours, in both 2022 and 2032. The individual movements will also operate at LOS equal or greater than C, which is usually within the acceptable threshold for rural intersections.

# Table 12 - LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 Northbound Ramp Terminal (Additional Turning Lanes at the WB Approach) 

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | LT | B | A | D | B |
|  | TH | A | A | A | A |
| WB | TH | B | B | C | B |
|  | RT | A | B | A | A |
| NB | LT | B | B | C | A |
|  | RT | B | B | C | A |
| Intersection |  | B | A | C | B |

The intersection of STH 84 with the I-380 North Ramp Terminal is currently signalized and no change in control is being proposed. However, analogous to the previous intersection, an improved geometry with the addition of turning lanes at the WB approach has been proposed, which results in improved operations. The summary results in Table 12 shows that the intersection will operate at desirable LOS equal to or greater to $B$ in both peak hours, in both 2022 and 2032. The individual movements will also operate at LOS equal TO or greater than C, which is usually within the acceptable threshold for rural intersections.

## 7. Curbside Pickup and Drop off Area

### 7.1 Analysis

The terminal future demand and improvements were calculated using the estimated growth in daily enplanements, the existing facility capacity and the tables and methodologies from Airport Cooperative Research Report (ACRP) 25 and National Cooperative Highway Research Report (NCHRP) 40.

The length of each vehicle type was obtained from the terminal spreadsheet of ACRP 25. The curbside length for pickup/drop off was measure on Google map as 535 feet.

The percent of vehicles that will go to the parking lot, or that will go to the drop off/pickup area or both is not known. The classification of vehicles that uses the curbside drop off/pickup is not known, as well. However, these values were estimated using the Methodology in Chapter 3 of ACRP 40 and by extrapolating the values on Tables 3-1 and $3-2$ in this chapter. Therefore, the values found are $90 \%$ private vehicles and $10 \%$ commercial vehicles. Out of the total, $35 \%$ private vehicles going to/coming from the parking lot, $35 \%$ private vehicles going to/coming from the curbside pickup/drop off area, $20 \%$ rental cars and $10 \%$ commercial vehicles (mostly taxicabs). Out of the $35 \%$ of vehicles going to/coming from the parking area, $20 \%$ go to/come from park and $15 \%$ go to/come from the pickup/drop off area and then, park. All taxicabs go to/come from the drop off/pickup area. Therefore, the total percent of vehicles using the curbside pickup/drop off area is $60 \%$.

The number of peak hour trips generated by the airport was previously calculated for the years 2012, 2022 and 2032, and shown in Table 1.

Vehicle length and vehicle dwell time were obtained from the Terminal Planning spreadsheet of ACRP 25.

These values were input to the Terminal Planning spreadsheet and the following results were found:

Table 13 - Level of Service at the Curbside Pickup/Drop off Area - Year 2012

| Number of Trips | Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Total | 288 | 337 | 266 | 288 |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb | 101 | $(*)$ | 93 | $(*)$ |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb/Parking | 43 | 51 | 40 | 43 |
| Faxicabs | 29 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 27 | $(*)$ |
| From Terminal Planning Spreadsheet |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Capacity Ratio | 0.26 |  | 0.23 |  |
| LOS | A |  | A |  |
| Curbside Length for LOS C (Feet) | 213 through 251 | 192 through 227 |  |  |

(*) These vehicles have already been accounted for in the inbound direction.

Table 14 - Level of Service at the Curbside Pickup/Drop off Area - Year 2022

| Number of Trips | Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Total | 393 | 459 | 362 | 393 |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb | 138 | $(*)$ | 127 | $(*)$ |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb/Parking | 59 | 69 | 54 | 59 |
| Taxicabs | 39 |  | $(*)$ | 36 |
| From Terminal Planning Spreadsheet |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Capacity Ratio | 0.35 |  | 0.32 |  |
| LOS | A |  | A |  |
| Curbside Length for LOS C (Feet) | 290 through 343 | 262 through 310 |  |  |

(*) These vehicles have already been accounted for in the inbound direction.

Table 15 - Level of Service at the Curbside Pickup/Drop off Area - Year 2022

| Number of Trips | Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Total | 479 | 562 | 443 | 479 |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb | 168 | $(*)$ | 155 | $(*)$ |
| Private Vehicles Frontcurb/Parking | 72 | 84 | 66 | 72 |
| Taxicabs | 48 | $(*)$ | 44 | $(*)$ |
| From Terminal Planning Spreadsheet |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Capacity Ratio | 0.43 |  | 0.39 |  |
| LOS | A |  | A |  |
| Curbside Length for LOS C (Feet) | 353 through 418 |  | 320 through 378 |  |

(*) These vehicles have already been accounted for in the inbound direction.

### 7.2 Conclusions

Based on the results from Tables 13-15, the curbside pickup/drop off area will operate at LOS A from now until 2032 in both AM and PM peak hours. The maximum required length for the curbside pickup/drop off area will be 418 feet, which is below the current length. Therefore, no expansion is required.

## Appendix 1: Traffic Data

## Turning Movements without Airport Expansion

Table 1 - Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Entrance) with STH 84

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | TH | 160 | 100 | 215 | 135 | 250 | 155 |
| EB | RT | 28 | 26 | 38 | 35 | 45 | 40 |
|  | LT | 260 | 240 | 350 | 320 | 405 | 375 |
| WB | TH | 100 | 160 | 135 | 215 | 155 | 250 |

Table 2 - LOS at the Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Exit) with $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ St SW

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 364 | 313 | 445 | 445 | 515 | 490 |
| EB | RT | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| NB | TH | 75 | 75 | 100 | 100 | 115 | 115 |
| SB | TH | 100 | 85 | 140 | 120 | 160 | 135 |

Table 3 - Intersection of LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with $\mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ St SW (Airport Exit)

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 50 | 25 | 70 | 35 | 80 | 40 |
| EB | TH | 85 | 65 | 115 | 90 | 130 | 100 |
|  | RT | 25 | 10 | 35 | 15 | 40 | 15 |
|  | LT | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| WB | TH | 301 | 315 | 405 | 420 | 470 | 490 |
|  | RT | 13 | 13 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  | LT | 34 | 28 | 45 | 35 | 55 | 45 |
| NB | TH | 100 | 100 | 135 | 135 | 155 | 155 |
|  | RT | 305 | 260 | 410 | 350 | 475 | 405 |
|  | LT | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| SB | TH | 25 | 25 | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 |
|  | RT | 25 | 25 | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 |

Table 4 - Intersection of STH 84 with I-90 SB Ramps

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | TH | 415 | 285 | 555 | 380 | 645 | 445 |
|  | RT | 40 | 40 | 55 | 45 | 60 | 60 |
|  | LT | 216 | 79 | 290 | 105 | 335 | 125 |
| WB | TH | 228 | 230 | 305 | 310 | 355 | 360 |
| SB | LT | 30 | 31 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
|  | RT | 146 | 159 | 195 | 215 | 225 | 245 |

Table 5 - Intersection of STH 84 with I-90 NB Ramps

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 255 | 125 | 340 | 170 | 395 | 195 |
| EB | TH | 118 | 199 | 160 | 270 | 185 | 310 |
| WB | TH | 491 | 277 | 660 | 370 | 765 | 430 |
|  | RT | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| NB | LT | 102 | 78 | 135 | 105 | 160 | 120 |
|  | RT | 32 | 68 | 40 | 90 | 50 | 105 |

Table 6 - Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Entrance) with STH 84

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | TH | 215 | 135 | 250 | 155 |
| EB | RT | 40 | 35 | 51 | 45 |
|  | LT | 370 | 340 | 460 | 425 |
| WB | TH | 135 | 215 | 155 | 250 |

Table 7 - LOS at the Intersection of Lippisch Place (Airport Exit) with $1 \mathbf{1 8}^{\text {th }}$ St SW

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 470 | 445 | 585 | 555 |
| EB | RT | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| NB | TH | 100 | 100 | 115 | 115 |
| SB | TH | 140 | 120 | 160 | 135 |

Table 8 - Intersection of LOS at the Intersection of STH 84 with $18^{\text {th }}$ St SW (Airport Exit)

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 70 | 35 | 80 | 40 |
| EB | TH | 115 | 90 | 130 | 100 |
|  | RT | 35 | 15 | 40 | 15 |
|  | LT | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| WB | TH | 425 | 440 | 525 | 540 |
|  | RT | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  | LT | 45 | 35 | 60 | 50 |
| NB | TH | 135 | 135 | 155 | 155 |
|  | RT | 435 | 370 | 540 | 465 |
|  | LT | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| SB | TH | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 |
|  | RT | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 |

Table 9 - Intersection of STH 84 with I-90 SB Ramps

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| EB | TH | 570 | 390 | 680 | 480 |
|  | RT | 65 | 55 | 90 | 85 |
|  | LT | 290 | 105 | 335 | 125 |
| WB | TH | 315 | 320 | 385 | 385 |
| SB | LT | 40 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
|  | RT | 205 | 225 | 250 | 270 |

Table 10 - Intersection of STH 84 with I-90 NB Ramps

|  |  | 2022 |  | 2032 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Movement | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  | LT | 350 | 180 | 425 | 225 |
| EB | TH | 165 | 270 | 190 | 315 |
| WB | TH | 660 | 370 | 770 | 435 |
|  | RT | 70 | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| NB | LT | 145 | 115 | 185 | 140 |
|  | RT | 45 | 90 | 50 | 105 |

## Appendix 2: ITE Trip Rate Tables

## Commercial Airport <br> (021)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Average Flights per Day @๓าอvA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Number of Studies: 2
Average Number of Flights per Day: 349
Directional Distribution: $54 \%$ entering, $46 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.40 | $3.27-6.40$ | $*$ |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Use Carefully - Small Sample Size


[^0]Average Rate
Fitted Curve Equation: Not given
nevip :ob1 :ncifo $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}=* * * * ~ t e a t i l . ~}$

## Commercial Airport (021)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Average Flights per Day

On a: Weekday,
P.M. Peak Hour of Generator

Number of Studies:
Average Number of Flights per Day: 349
Directional Distribution: 49\% entering, $51 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 6.96 | 5.12 | -7.82 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Commercial Airport

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: $55 \%$ entering, $45 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight frigiF laisvemmoo req noijaiteneD qit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.43 | $4.97-7.22$ | 2.71 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Use Carefully - Smail Sample Size


## Commercial Airport (021)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day. 243
Directional Distribution $54 \%$ entering, $46 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.88 | $6.22-7.79$ | 2.67 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Commercial Airport

## (021)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, <br> One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: 55\% entering, $45 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight trigil Isiovemmeo req nolisvsnad qhT

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.43 | 4.97 | 7.22 | 2.71 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Use Carefully - Small Sample Size


## Commercial Airport (021)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: $\$ 54 \%$ entering, $46 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.88 | $6.22-7.79$ | 2.67 |

Data Plot and Equation


[^1]Average Rate

Fitted Curve Equation: Not given $R^{2}={ }^{* * * *}$

## Commercial Airport

## (021)

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Number of Studies:
3
resibute to vodmuh
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: $55 \%$ entering, $45 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight


| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.43 | 4.97 | 7.22 |



## Commercial Airport

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Number of Studies: 3 ?
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: $54 \%$ entering, $46 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.88 | $6.22-7.79$ | 2.67 |

Data Plot and Equation
Caution - Use Carefully - Small Sample Size


## Commercial Airport

 (021)Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day On a: Weekday, A.M. Peak Hour of Generator

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: $46 \%$ entering, $54 \%$ exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.24 | $5.92-11.48$ | 4.01 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Commercial Airport

## Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Commercial Flights per Day

On a: Weekday,
P.M. Peak Hour of Generator

Number of Studies: 3
Avg. Num. of Comm. Flights per Day: 243
Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting
Trip Generation per Commercial Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.20 | $6.93-8.83$ | 2.97 |

Data Plot and Equation


## General Aviation Airport (022)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Average Flights per Day
On a: Weekday,
A.M. Peak Hour of Generator

Number of Studies: 3
Average Number of Flights per Day: 456
Directional Distribution: Not available hatiteving
Trip Generation per Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.24 | $0.09-0.26$ | 0.49 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Use Carefully - Small Sample Size


## General Aviation Airport <br> (022)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Average Flights per Day
On a: Weekday,
P.M. Peak Hour of Generator

Number of Studies: 3
Average Number of Flights per Day: 456
Directional Distribution: Not available
Trip Generation per Flight

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | $0.17-0.33$ | 0.55 |

Data Plot and Equation Caution - Use Carefully-Small Sample Size


## Appendix 3: Traffic Volumes


IRAFFIC FLOW MAP OF
JOHNSON COUNTY








## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: 6TH ST NORTH OF WALFORD ROAD

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3415. The study was done in the SB lane at $6 T H$ ST NORTH OF WALFORD ROAD in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Jul/07/10 at 00:00 and concluded on Jul/08/10 at 00:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2161 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 56 on Jul/07/10 at [16:45-17:00] and a minimum volume of 0 on Jul/07/10 at [00:00-00:15]. The AADT count for this study was 1,979.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $55-60 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 55 MPH with $27.53 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 55 MPH . The HI-STAR found 27.53 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 55MPH and the 85th percentile was 63.04 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1842 which represents 90 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 196 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| < to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1842 | 134 | 27 | 10 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [16:45-17:00] the average headway between vehicles was 15.789 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [00:00-00:15] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 76.00 and 109.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3415 Be |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:6TH ST NORTH OF WALFORD RO/ |  |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: SB |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 55 |  |  | Raw Count: 2161 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,979 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [00:00-00:15] | 0 | OMPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 5 | 52 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 0 | OMPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 3 | 53 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 1 | 58 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 4 | 49 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 4 | 50 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 1 | 62 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 1 | 58 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 4 | 59 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 1 | 62 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 3 | 67 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 1 | 62 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 2 | 68 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 2 | 60 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 1 | 32 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 4 | 53 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 6 | 57 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 9 | 54 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 3 | 57 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 10 | 60 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 13 | 49 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 30 | 53 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 14 | 59 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 25 | 56 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 24 | 57 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 33 | 54 MPH | 76 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 31 | 51 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 38 | 51 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 16 | 57 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [07:45-08:00] | 34 | 55 MPH | 78 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 20 | 58 MPH | 80 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 24 | 57 MPH | 80 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 20 | 53 MPH | 80 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 27 | 54 MPH | 80 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 19 | 54 MPH | 82 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 32 | 53 MPH | 83 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 27 | 56 MPH | 83 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 28 | 55 MPH | 85 |  | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3415 <br> Street:6TH ST NORTH OF WALFORD RO/ <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
|  | Posted: 55 |  |  | Raw Count: 2161 |  |
|  | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,979 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [10:00-10:15] | 22 | 54 MPH | 87 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 26 | 57 MPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 32 | 54 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 29 | 54 MPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 26 | 56 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 34 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 33 | 57 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 33 | 56 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:00-12:15] | 36 | 57 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 45 | 52 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 39 | 55 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 40 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 34 | 54 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 25 | 54 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 29 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 36 | 53 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 30 | 54 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 40 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 46 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 44 | 55 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 38 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 43 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 53 | 57 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 49 | 56 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 43 | 57 MPH | 109 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 45 | 56 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 47 | 56 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 56 | 54 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 52 | 57 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 53 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 48 | 55 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 37 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 45 | 52 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 29 | 55 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 22 | 57 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 30 | 56 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 29 | 54 MPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 24 | 54 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 24 | 57 MPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 24 | 56 MPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |

## [Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3415 <br> Street:6TH ST NORTH OF WALFORD RO/ <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 Lane: SB Oper: CAL Posted: 55 AADT Factor:0.916``` |  |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 <br> Hours: 24.00 <br> Period: 15 <br> Raw Count: 2161 <br> AADT Count: 1,979 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry | Period Occupancy |
| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [20:00-20:15] | 20 | 55 MPH | 87 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 23 | 54 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 13 | 57 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 19 | 56 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 15 | 55 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 15 | 56 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 8 | 58 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 10 | 48 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:00-22:15] | 9 | 57 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 6 | 55 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 5 | 54 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 7 | 52 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 5 | 51 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 6 | 52 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 4 | 59 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 6 | 53 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
|  | 2161 | 56 MPH | 87 F |  |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: 6TH ST SOUTH OF WALFORD ROAD

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3408. The study was done in the NB lane at $6 T H$ ST SOUTH OF WALFORD ROAD in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Jul/07/10 at 00:00 and concluded on Jul/08/10 at 00:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 3142 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 100 on Jul/07/10 at [07:30-07:45] and a minimum volume of 0 on Jul/07/10 at [03:45-04:00]. The AADT count for this study was 2,878 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $55-60 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 56 MPH with $24.42 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 55 MPH . The HI-STAR found 24.42 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 55MPH and the 85th percentile was 62.55 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 2903 which represents 94 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 181 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2903 | 101 | 30 | 16 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [07:30-07:45] the average headway between vehicles was 8.911 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [03:45-04:00] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 76.00 and 107.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3408 B |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:6TH ST SOUTH OF WALFORD RO/ | Lane: NB |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 55 |  |  | Raw Count: 3142 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 2,878 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [00:00-00:15] | 4 | 55 MPH | 80 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 8 | 53 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 5 | 54 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 4 | 56 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 3 | 57 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 3 | 53 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 4 | 54 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 1 | 72 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 2 | 55 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 1 | 58 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 3 | 61 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 4 | 54 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 1 | 52 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 1 | 52 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 4 | 54 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 0 | 0MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 2 | 60 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 3 | 51 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 10 | 57 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 7 | 55 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 9 | 54 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 13 | 58 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 26 | 56 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 25 | 56 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 32 | 54 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 50 | 58 MPH | 76 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 61 | 57 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 65 | 56 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 65 | 55 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 94 | 57 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 100 | 55 MPH | 78 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [07:45-08:00] | 100 | 56 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 63 | 58 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 57 | 56 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 47 | 55 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 44 | 57 MPH | 80 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 41 | 54 MPH | 82 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 45 | 57 MPH | 83 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 31 | 56 MPH | 83 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 45 | 55 MPH | 85 |  | Dry | 0 |

Page: 1
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3408 Be |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:6TH ST SOUTH OF WALFORD RO/ | Lane: NB |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 55 |  |  | Raw Count: 3142 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 2,878 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [10:00-10:15] | 34 | 57 MPH | 85 |  | Dry | 8 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 44 | 54 MPH | 87 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 33 | 55 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 39 | 53 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 33 | 56 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 48 | 52 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 49 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 39 | 53 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:00-12:15] | 51 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 45 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 36 | 58 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 44 | 54 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 42 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 46 | 55 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 51 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 47 | 53 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 39 | 55 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 61 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 54 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 54 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 47 | 53 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 48 | 58 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 54 | 55 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 57 | 56 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 63 | 56 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 54 | 56 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 44 | 54 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 73 | 56 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 50 | 55 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 64 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 50 | 56 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 51 | 58 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 48 | 57 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 45 | 55 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 42 | 56 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 29 | 55 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 27 | 55 MPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 24 | 55 MPH | 91 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 22 | 58 MPH | 91 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 22 | 54 MPH | 89 |  | Dry | 0 |

Page: 2
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3408 <br> Street:6TH ST SOUTH OF WALFORD RO/ <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 \\ Lane: NB \\ Oper: CAL \\ Posted: 55 \\ AADT Factor: 0.916``` |  |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00Hours: 24.00Period: 15Raw Count: 3142AADT Count: 2,878 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry | Period Occupancy |
| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [20:00-20:15] | 25 | 54 MPH | 87 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 29 | 53 MPH | 87 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 18 | 57 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 36 | 55 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 25 | 53 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 20 | 50 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 15 | 57 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 13 | 53 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:00-22:15] | 7 | 54 MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 8 | 56 MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 7 | 55 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 5 | 50 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 9 | 48 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 11 | 57 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 16 | 52 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 12 | 56 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
|  | 3142 | 55 MPH | 87 F |  |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WALFORD RD EAST OF 6TH ST

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3424. The study was done in the WB lane at WALFORD RD EAST OF 6TH ST in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Jul/07/10 at 00:00 and concluded on Jul/08/10 at 00:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 87 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 4 on Jul/07/10 at [10:45-11:00] and a minimum volume of 0 on Jul/07/10 at [12:15-12:30]. The AADT count for this study was 80.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 35-40 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 37 MPH with $7.41 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.00 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 35 MPH and the 85th percentile was 46.11 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \text { to } \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & \text { to } \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 74 which represents 91 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 7 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { to } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [10:45-11:00] the average headway between vehicles was 180 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [12:15-12:30] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 76.00 and 107.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report


| Wed, Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [00:00-00:15] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 1 | 48 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 1 | 28 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 1 | 52 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 2 | 43 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 1 | 52 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 1 | 38 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 6 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 3 | 40 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 1 | 52 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 1 | 28 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 1 | 42 MPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:45-08:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 2 | 33 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 3 | 25 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 3 | 45 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 3 | 32 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 2 | 40 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 2 | 35 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 1 | 32 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3424 Be |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WALFORD RD EAST OF | Lane: WB |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 45 |  |  | Raw Count: 87 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 80 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [10:00-10:15] | 0 | OMPH | 85 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 0 | OMPH | 85 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 2 | 30 MPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 4 | 27 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 0 | OMPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 0 | OMPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 2 | 33 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 3 | 47 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:00-12:15] | 2 | 43 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 0 | OMPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 2 | 25 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 0 | OMPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 3 | 37 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 0 | OMPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 1 | OMPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 1 | 38 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 1 | 22 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 1 | 22 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 2 | 33 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 2 | 38 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 0 | OMPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 3 | 38 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 3 | 36 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 1 | 42 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 0 | OMPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 2 | 38 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 2 | 32 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 0 | OMPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 2 | 43 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 1 | 28 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 1 | 22 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 0 | OMPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 3 | 36 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 1 | 38 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 0 | OMPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 0 | OMPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 2 | 33 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 1 | OMPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 2 | 43 MPH | 89 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 2 | 40 MPH | 87 | F | Dry | 0 |

## [Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3424 <br> Street:WALFORD RD EAST OF 6TH ST <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 Lane: WB Oper: CAL Posted: 45 AADT Factor: 0.916``` |  |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00Hours: 24.00Period: 15Raw Count: 87AADT Count: 80 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway <br> Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry | Period Occupancy |
| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [20:00-20:15] | 0 | OMPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 0 | OMPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 1 | 42 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 0 | OMPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 0 | OMPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 0 | OMPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 1 | 28 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:00-22:15] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 0 | 0MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 2 | 40 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 2 | 37 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 0 | OMPH | 76 F | Dry | 0 |
|  | 87 | 0 MPH | 85 F |  |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WALFORD RD WEST OF 6TH ST

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3409. The study was done in the EB lane at WALFORD RD WEST OF 6TH ST in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Jul/07/10 at 00:00 and concluded on Jul/08/10 at 00:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 198 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 12 on Jul/07/10 at [15:30-15:45] and a minimum volume of 0 on Jul/07/10 at [13:45-14:00]. The AADT count for this study was 181.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 25-30 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 28 MPH with $0.54 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.54 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 25 MPH and the 85th percentile was 33.30 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 172 which represents 93 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 12 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $<$ to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 172 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [15:30-15:45] the average headway between vehicles was 69.231 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Jul/07/10 at [13:45-14:00] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 78.00 and 113.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3409 Be |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WALFORD RD WEST OF | Lane: EB |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 45 |  |  | Raw Count: 198 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 181 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [00:00-00:15] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 1 | 12 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 2 | 27 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 1 | 0MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 2 | 30 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 1 | 28 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 1 | 28 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 2 | 25 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 1 | 38 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 2 | 23 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 2 | 25 MPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 0 | OMPH | 78 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 2 | 25 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 1 | 32 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 6 | 29 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:45-08:00] | 2 | 17 MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 3 | 27 MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 1 | 38 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 3 | 27 MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 1 | 28 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 4 | 32 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 3 | 29 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 0 | OMPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 3 | 26 MPH | 89 F | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3409 Be |  | Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WALFORD RD WEST OF | Lane: EB |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 45 |  |  | Raw Count: 198 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 0.916 |  |  | AADT Count: 181 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [10:00-10:15] | 4 | 29 MPH | 89 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 2 | 23 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 3 | 28 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 4 | 24 MPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 5 | 25 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 3 | 27 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 3 | 29 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 2 | 25 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:00-12:15] | 5 | 29 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 1 | 32 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 5 | 30 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 2 | 18 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 4 | 34 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 5 | 28 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 1 | 28 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 0 | OMPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 4 | 28 MPH | 103 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 1 | 38 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 6 | 27 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 2 | 35 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 4 | 27 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 6 | 30 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 12 | 25 MPH | 107 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 9 | 28 MPH | 109 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 3 | 29 MPH | 113 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 1 | 32 MPH | 109 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 7 | 26 MPH | 105 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 6 | 28 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 1 | 32 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 1 | 22 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 7 | 27 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 2 | 32 MPH | 101 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 4 | 31 MPH | 99 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 3 | 25 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 2 | 25 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 2 | 28 MPH | 97 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 0 | OMPH | 95 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 0 | OMPH | 93 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 5 | 38 MPH | 91 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 1 | 22 MPH | 89 | F | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3409 <br> Street:WALFORD RD WEST OF 6TH ST <br> State:IA <br> City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Jul/07/10 00:00 Lane: EB Oper: CAL Posted: 45 AADT Factor: 0.916``` |  |  | End: Jul/08/10 00:00 <br> Hours: 24.00 <br> Period: 15 <br> Raw Count: 198 <br> AADT Count: 181 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date And | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry | Period Occupancy |
| Wed,Jul/07/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [20:00-20:15] | 1 | 28 MPH | 89 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 0 | OMPH | 87 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 0 | OMPH | 87 F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 0 | OMPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 1 | 12MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 0 | OMPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 2 | 30 MPH | 85 F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 2 | 30 MPH | 83 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:00-22:15] | 1 | 28MPH | 82 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 1 | 22MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 3 | 24 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 1 | 38 MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 4 | 26MPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 0 | OMPH | 80 F | Dry | 0 |
|  | 198 | 25 MPH | 89 F |  |  |

## [Raw] Volume Report



## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3612. The study was done in the EAST BOUND lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 3842 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 117 on Feb/24/10 at [15:30-15:45] and a minimum volume of 2 on Feb/25/10 at [11:45-12:00]. The AADT count for this study was 3,842.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $10-15 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 32 MPH with $27.27 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 18.18 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 10MPH and the 85th percentile was greater than 75.00 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 11 which represents 100 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $<$ to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { to } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[15: 30-15: 45$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 7.627 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [11:45-12:00] the average headway between vehicles was 300 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 5.00 and 39.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 57 | 57 MPH | 35 |  | Dry | 1 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 69 | 44 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 61 | OMPH | 37 | F | Dry | 7 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 67 | 12 MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 15 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 71 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 49 | 48 MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 58 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 43 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 54 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 76 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 81 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 59 | OMPH | 37 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 59 | OMPH | 37 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 73 | OMPH | 35 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 117 | OMPH | 35 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 89 | OMPH | 35 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 112 | OMPH | 33 | F | Dry | 9 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 97 | OMPH | 31 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 104 | OMPH | 31 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 72 | OMPH | 29 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 78 | OMPH | 29 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 66 | OMPH | 27 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 74 | OMPH | 27 | F | Dry | 15 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 50 | OMPH | 25 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 48 | OMPH | 23 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 56 | OMPH | 21 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 46 | OMPH | 21 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 28 | OMPH | 21 | F | Dry | 16 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 55 | OMPH | 19 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 38 | OMPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 24 | OMPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 29 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 21 | OMPH | 17 |  | Dry | 7 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 15 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 20 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 35 | OMPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 32 | OMPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 42 | OMPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 26 | OMPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 25 | OMPH | 15 |  | Dry | 7 |

Page: 1
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3612 Be |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP | Lane: EAST BOUND |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 3842 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 3,842 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 34 | OMPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 32 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 4 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 23 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 27 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 20 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 6 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 14 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 9 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 9 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 20 | OMPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 18 | OMPH | 11 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 20 | OMPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 21 | OMPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 10 | OMPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 16 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 8 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 4 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 7 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 13 | OMPH | 9 | $F$ | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 8 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 6 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 8 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 5 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 3 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 4 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 8 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 8 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 3 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 9 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 10 | OMPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 6 | OMPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 18 | OMPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 24 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 27 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 29 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 20 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 11 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 31 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 51 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 7 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 51 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 8 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 51 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 86 | OMPH | 5 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 73 | OMPH | 7 |  | Dry | 1 |

Page: 2
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID: 3612 <br> Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 Lane: EAST BOUND Oper: CAL Posted: 40 AADT Factor: }``` |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 <br> Hours: 24.00 <br> Period: 15 <br> Raw Count: 3842 <br> AADT Count: 3,842 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date And | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway <br> Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry | Period Occupancy |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [07:45-08:00] | 75 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 5 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 44 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 1 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 59 | 28 MPH | 13 F | Dry | 1 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 65 | 0 MPH | 15 F | Dry | 10 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 51 | OMPH | 17 F | Dry | 1 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 54 | 18 MPH | 19 F | Dry | 1 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 40 | 12 MPH | 21 F | Dry | 1 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 44 | 0 MPH | 23 F | Dry | 1 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 35 | OMPH | 27 F | Dry | 1 |
| [10:00-10:15] | 53 | 18 MPH | 29 F | Dry | 7 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 65 | 12 MPH | 29 F | Dry | 5 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 57 | 0MPH | 31 F | Dry | 8 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 43 | OMPH | 35 F | Dry | 4 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 45 | OMPH | 35 F | Dry | 12 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 4 | OMPH | 35 F | Dry | 26 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 3 | OMPH | 35 F | Dry | 14 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 2 | OMPH | 35 F | Dry | 8 |
|  | 3842 | 0 MPH | 20 F |  |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3418. The study was done in the EB RT lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $13: 45$, lasting a total of 1.75 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 199 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 35 on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[13: 30-13: 45$ ] and a minimum volume of 13 on Feb/24/10 at [13:00-13:15]. The AADT count for this study was 2,729 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $30-35 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 32 MPH with $2.70 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 1.80 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 30 MPH and the 85th percentile was 38.64 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 94 which represents 85 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 17 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [13:30-13:45] the average headway between vehicles was 25 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [13:00-13:15] the average headway between vehicles was 64.286 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 35.00 and 39.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3418. The study was done in the EB RT lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $13: 45$, lasting a total of 1.75 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 199 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 35 on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[13: 30-13: 45$ ] and a minimum volume of 13 on Feb/24/10 at [13:00-13:15]. The AADT count for this study was 2,729 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $30-35 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 32 MPH with $2.70 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 1.80 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 30 MPH and the 85th percentile was 38.64 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 94 which represents 85 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 17 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [13:30-13:45] the average headway between vehicles was 25 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [13:00-13:15] the average headway between vehicles was 64.286 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 35.00 and 39.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3413 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 S | Lane: SB RT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 2712 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 2,712 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 35 | 23MPH | 35 |  | Dry | 9 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 44 | 18MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 44 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 51 | 20MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 16 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 38 | 20 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 34 | 19MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 19 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 47 | 15MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 8 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 28 | 21 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 7 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 58 | 17 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 13 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 42 | 21 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 20 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 40 | 22 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 41 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 35 | 19MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 61 | 20 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 15 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 47 | 17 MPH | 33 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 38 | 27 MPH | 33 | F | Dry | 10 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 48 | 21 MPH | 31 | F | Dry | 12 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 35 | 20 MPH | 31 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 37 | 20MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 9 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 26 | 23 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 38 | 18 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 19 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 41 | 20 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 36 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 46 | 18MPH | 27 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 41 | 26 MPH | 25 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 22 | 28 MPH | 25 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 34 | 28 MPH | 23 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 34 | 21 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 37 | 21 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 9 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 31 | 21 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 26 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 23 | 17 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 27 | 26MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 5 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 26 | 25MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 23 | 17 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 11 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 25 | 19MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 28 | 21 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 27 | 19MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 9 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 21 | 16 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 12 | 20 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 8 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 18 | 29MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 18 | 19MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 3 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 16 | 20MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 1 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 8 | 18 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |

Page: 1
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3413 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP | Lane: SB RT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA |  |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 2712 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 2,712 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And Time Range | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Surface | Period |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 8 | 13MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 7 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 11 | 31 MPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 5 | 17 MPH | 13 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 9 | 24 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 8 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 7 | 23 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 9 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 4 | 68 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 2 | 12MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 10 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 5 | OMPH | 13 | F | Dry | 10 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 9 | 24MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 7 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 7 | 31 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 5 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 5 | 20MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 14 | 20 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 7 | 12MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 5 | 22 MPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 3 | 12 MPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 2 | 20 MPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 3 | OMPH |  | $F$ | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 6 | 14MPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 3 | 0MPH |  | F | Dry | 5 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 9 | 19MPH |  | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 6 | 35 MPH |  | $F$ | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 13 | 18 MPH |  | F | Dry | 1 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 18 | 23 MPH |  | F | Dry | 1 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 12 | 23 MPH |  | F | Dry | 1 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 17 | 13MPH |  | $F$ | Dry | 16 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 25 | 22 MPH |  | F | Dry | 3 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 37 | 17 MPH |  | F | Dry | 5 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 39 | 27 MPH |  | F | Dry | 4 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 31 | 22MPH |  | $F$ | Dry | 14 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 38 | 13 MPH |  | F | Dry | 17 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 49 | 18 MPH |  | F | Dry | 6 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 51 | 24 MPH |  | F | Dry | 6 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 26 | 25MPH |  | F | Dry | 1 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 25 | 20MPH |  | F | Dry | 15 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 47 | 25 MPH |  | F | Dry | 7 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 49 | 18 MPH |  | F | Dry | 10 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 37 | 18MPH |  | F | Dry | 19 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 48 | 16 MPH |  | F | Dry | 12 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 28 | 17 MPH |  | F | Dry | 5 |

[Raw] Volume Report


## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3386. The study was done in the SB LT lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 513 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 31 on Feb/24/10 at [14:30-14:45] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/24/10 at [22:00-22:15]. The AADT count for this study was 513.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $15-20 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 20 MPH with $5.19 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 1.30 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 15 MPH and the 85th percentile was 23.75 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 72 which represents 94 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 5 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $<$ to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { to } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [14:30-14:45] the average headway between vehicles was 28.125 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [22:00-22:15] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 7.00 and 48.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $95.83 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3386 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP |  |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: SB LTOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 513 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 513 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 5 | OMPH | 35 |  | Wet | 10 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 3 | OMPH | 37 | F | Wet | 8 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 5 | OMPH | 37 | F | Wet | 4 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 5 | OMPH | 39 | F | Wet | 23 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 10 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 19 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 6 | 12 MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 11 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 8 | 58 MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 10 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 2 | OMPH | 39 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 7 | 15MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 8 | 12 MPH | 39 | F | Dry | 21 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 31 | 58 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 12 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 11 | 20 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 19 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 7 | 29 MPH | 37 | F | Dry | 5 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 4 | 18 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 11 | 12 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 38 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 4 | 20 MPH | 33 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 11 | OMPH | 33 | F | Dry | 26 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 4 | OMPH | 31 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 6 | 18 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 16 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 11 | 16 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 5 | 18MPH | 27 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 11 | OMPH | 27 | F | Dry | 20 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 9 | 17 MPH | 25 | F | Dry | 5 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 6 | 20 MPH | 23 | F | Dry | 26 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 13 | 22 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 15 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 9 | 26 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 3 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 16 | 12 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 25 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 5 | 18 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 3 | 12 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 2 | 42 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 5 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 5 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 9 | 12 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 8 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 3 | OMPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 5 | 12 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 5 | 18 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 14 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 7 | 12 MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 14 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 7 | 18 MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 33 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 4 | 22 MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 13 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 4 | 0MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3386 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 S | Lane: SB LT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA O |  |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 513 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 513 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 0 | OMPH | 15 F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 7 | 18MPH | 15 F | Dry | 23 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 13 | 15MPH | 15 F | Dry | 16 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 11 | 14MPH | 15 F | Dry | 19 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 5 | 22MPH | 13 F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 4 | 12 MPH | 13 F | Dry | 12 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 1 | OMPH | 13 F | Dry | 3 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 0 | OMPH | 13 F | Dry | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 0 | OMPH | 13 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 0 | OMPH | 13 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 1 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 1 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 2 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 4 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 14 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 0 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 0 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 0 | OMPH | 11 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 1 | 18MPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 1 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 3 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 1 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 2 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 10 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 0 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 1 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 3 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 2 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 2 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 4 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 1 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 1 | OMPH | 7 F | Dry | 14 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 1 | 18MPH | 7 F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 1 | OMPH | 7 F | Dry | 6 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 5 | 16MPH | 7 F | Dry | 12 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 8 | 15MPH | 7 F | Dry | 21 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 7 | 13 MPH | 7 F | Dry | 23 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 13 | 18 MPH | 9 F | Dry | 16 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 2 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 3 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 2 | 38 MPH | 9 F | Dry | 14 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 10 | OMPH | 9 F | Dry | 16 |

[Raw] Volume Report


## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3413. The study was done in the SB RT lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2712 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 61 on Feb/24/10 at [14:45-15:00] and a minimum volume of 2 on Feb/24/10 at [23:30-23:45]. The AADT count for this study was 2,712.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $15-20 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 21 MPH with $4.76 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 2.33 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 15 MPH and the 85th percentile was 26.93 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 752 which represents 79 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 194 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $<$ to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 752 | 159 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[14: 45-15: 00$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 14.516 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [23:30-23:45] the average headway between vehicles was 300 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 7.00 and 42.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $100.00 \%$ of the time.

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3417. The study was done in the WEST BOUND lane at WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 3190 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 90 on Feb/24/10 at [15:00-15:15] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/25/10 at [00:45-01:00]. The AADT count for this study was 3,190.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $35-40 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 31 MPH with $4.98 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.36 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 35 MPH and the 85th percentile was 39.86 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 2414 which represents 88 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 336 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [15:00-15:15] the average headway between vehicles was 9.89 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [00:45-01:00] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 7.00 and 42.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $96.88 \%$ of the time.

Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report


Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report

| HI-Star ID:3417 <br> Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 S <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN |  |  | End: Fe Hours: 24 Period: 15 Raw Count: 31 ADT Count: 3, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date And Time Range | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry |
| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |
| [21:00-21:15] | 25 | 31 MPH | 17 F | Dry |
| [21:15-21:30] | 16 | 30 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [21:30-21:45] | 12 | 33 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [21:45-22:00] | 9 | 31 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [22:00-22:15] | 8 | 37 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [22:15-22:30] | 15 | 33 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [22:30-22:45] | 11 | 32 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [22:45-23:00] | 10 | 30 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [23:00-23:15] | 20 | 27 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [23:15-23:30] | 6 | 22 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [23:30-23:45] | 8 | 30 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [23:45-00:00] | 6 | 32 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| Wed,Feb/24/10 | 1808 | 31 MPH | 24 F |  |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 9 | 29 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [00:15-00:30] | 11 | 28 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [00:30-00:45] | 3 | 33 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [00:45-01:00] | 0 | 0 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [01:00-01:15] | 5 | 29 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [01:15-01:30] | 1 | 28 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [01:30-01:45] | 6 | 27 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [01:45-02:00] | 3 | 37 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [02:00-02:15] | 1 | 32 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [02:15-02:30] | 3 | 28 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [02:30-02:45] | 1 | 28 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [02:45-03:00] | 6 | 34 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [03:00-03:15] | 4 | 23 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [03:15-03:30] | 9 | 32 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [03:30-03:45] | 9 | 28 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [03:45-04:00] | 10 | 27 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [04:00-04:15] | 9 | 39 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [04:15-04:30] | 16 | 35 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [04:30-04:45] | 13 | 38 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [04:45-05:00] | 28 | 30 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [05:00-05:15] | 23 | 37 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [05:15-05:30] | 35 | 32 MPH | 7 F | Dry |

## Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report

| HI-Star ID: 3417 <br> Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP <br> State:IA <br> City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Feb/ <br> Lane: WEST <br> Oper: CAL <br> Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1 |  | End: F <br> Hours: 2 <br> Period: 1 <br> Raw Count: 3 <br> AADT Count: 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date And | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |
| [05:30-05:45] | 32 | 37 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [05:45-06:00] | 28 | 30 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [06:00-06:15] | 42 | 26 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [06:15-06:30] | 50 | 25 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [06:30-06:45] | 62 | 29 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [06:45-07:00] | 37 | 34 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [07:00-07:15] | 47 | 32 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [07:15-07:30] | 46 | 33 MPH | 7 F | Dry |
| [07:30-07:45] | 70 | 32 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [07:45-08:00] | 67 | 31 MPH | 9 F | Dry |
| [08:00-08:15] | 39 | 35 MPH | 11 F | Dry |
| [08:15-08:30] | 69 | 31 MPH | 13 F | Dry |
| [08:30-08:45] | 48 | 34 MPH | 15 F | Dry |
| [08:45-09:00] | 62 | 29 MPH | 17 F | Dry |
| [09:00-09:15] | 33 | 32 MPH | 19 F | Dry |
| [09:15-09:30] | 37 | 35 MPH | 21 F | Dry |
| [09:30-09:45] | 30 | 32 MPH | 23 F | Dry |
| [09:45-10:00] | 40 | 35 MPH | 25 F | Dry |
| [10:00-10:15] | 44 | 30 MPH | 29 F | Dry |
| [10:15-10:30] | 59 | 32 MPH | 29 F | Dry |
| [10:30-10:45] | 41 | 34 MPH | 31 F | Dry |
| [10:45-11:00] | 42 | 33 MPH | 33 F | Dry |
| [11:00-11:15] | 65 | 32 MPH | 35 F | Dry |
| [11:15-11:30] | 48 | 32 MPH | 37 F | Dry |
| [11:30-11:45] | 38 | 32 MPH | 39 F | Dry |
| [11:45-12:00] | 1 | 0 MPH | 42 F | Dry |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 | 1382 | 32 MPH | 15 F |  |
| Feb/24/10 12:00 | 3190 | 31 MPH | 19 F |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS Street: WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 3424. The study was done in the WB LT lane at WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 SB RAMP in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1779 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 75 on Feb/24/10 at [15:00-15:15] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/24/10 at [23:30-23:45]. The AADT count for this study was 1,779.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $20-25 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 24 MPH with $0.78 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.13 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 20MPH and the 85th percentile was 29.31 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1506 which represents 97 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 39 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { to } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1506 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [15:00-15:15] the average headway between vehicles was 11.842 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [23:30-23:45] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 5.00 and 42.00 degrees F . The HI-STAR determined that the roadway surface was Dry $96.88 \%$ of the time.
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3424 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP | Lane: WB LT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: WB LTOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 1779 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,779 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 35 | 23MPH | 29 |  | Wet | 1 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 14 | 25MPH | 31 | F | Wet | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 15 | 23 MPH | 33 | F | Wet | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 30 | 24 MPH | 33 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 41 | 24 MPH | 33 |  | Dry | 7 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 27 | 23 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 22 | 26 MPH | 35 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 39 | 24 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 44 | 21 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 26 | 23 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 37 | 24 MPH | 35 |  | Dry | 1 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 40 | 23 MPH | 35 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 75 | 22 MPH | 33 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 40 | 24 MPH | 33 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 44 | 23 MPH | 31 |  | Dry | 1 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 67 | 23 MPH | 31 | F | Dry | 23 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 65 | 22 MPH | 29 |  | Dry | 6 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 40 | 21 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 39 | 21 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 2 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 43 | 24 MPH | 29 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 49 | 21 MPH | 27 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 43 | 24 MPH | 27 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 42 | 24 MPH | 25 | F | Dry | 4 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 32 | 23 MPH | 23 | F | Dry | 1 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 18 | 23 MPH | 23 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 22 | 24 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 16 | 23 MPH | 21 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 36 | 23 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 6 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 24 | 24 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 14 | 25 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 20 | 29 MPH | 19 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 18 | 29 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 26 | 25MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 24 | 24 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 21 | 24 MPH | 17 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 25 | 23 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 18 | 23MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 11 | 25 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 15 | 25 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 8 | 26 MPH | 15 | F | Dry | 0 |

Page: 1
[Raw] Volume Report

| HI-Star ID:3424 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS \& I380 SB RAMP | Lane: WB LT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: WB LTOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 1779 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,779 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 4 | 41 MPH | 15 |  | Dry | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 7 | 29 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 17 | 24 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 7 | 27 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 6 | 24 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 6 | 28 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 7 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 1 | 38 MPH | 13 | F | Dry | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 2 | 30 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 1 | 28 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 1 | 32 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 0 | 0 MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 2 | 23MPH | 11 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 1 | 32 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 5 | 25 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 1 | 32 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 1 | 32 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 1 | 38 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 0 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 3 | 30 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 0 | OMPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 2 | 25 MPH | 9 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 2 | 32 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 0 | 0MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 2 | 30 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 1 | 38 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 5 | 33 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 2 | 23 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 6 | 27 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 8 | 26 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 10 | 25 MPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 16 | 26 MPH | 5 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 24 | 25MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 20 | 23 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 20 | 24 MPH | 7 | F | Dry | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report


## Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& 1380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8990 <br> Street:WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF I3乏 State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
|  | Lane: EB LT |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  | Oper: CAL |  | Period: 15 |  |
|  | Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | AADT Count: 2,783 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |
|  | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 40 | 25 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [12:15-12:30] | 46 | 23 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [12:30-12:45] | 40 | 22 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [12:45-13:00] | 43 | 24 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [13:00-13:15] | 50 | 24 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [13:15-13:30] | 41 | 22 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [13:30-13:45] | 40 | 23 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [13:45-14:00] | 32 | 22 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [14:00-14:15] | 39 | 21 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [14:15-14:30] | 52 | 20 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [14:30-14:45] | 60 | 19 MPH | 37 F | --- |
| [14:45-15:00] | 45 | 22 MPH | 37 F | --- |
| [15:00-15:15] | 42 | 19 MPH | 37 F | --- |
| [15:15-15:30] | 58 | 22 MPH | 35 F | --- |
| [15:30-15:45] | 80 | 22 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [15:45-16:00] | 54 | 20 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [16:00-16:15] | 62 | 17 MPH | 31 F | --- |
| [16:15-16:30] | 59 | 20 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [16:30-16:45] | 74 | 20 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [16:45-17:00] | 51 | 22 MPH | 35 F | --- |
| [17:00-17:15] | 60 | 22 MPH | 37 F | --- |
| [17:15-17:30] | 40 | 25 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [17:30-17:45] | 46 | 23 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [17:45-18:00] | 35 | 28 MPH | 42 F | --- |
| [18:00-18:15] | 37 | 21 MPH | 42 F | --- |
| [18:15-18:30] | 45 | 24 MPH | 44 F | --- |
| [18:30-18:45] | 39 | 21 MPH | 44 F | --- |
| [18:45-19:00] | 22 | 24 MPH | 46 F | --- |
| [19:00-19:15] | 44 | 26 MPH | 46 F | --- |
| [19:15-19:30] | 24 | 23 MPH | 46 F | --- |
| [19:30-19:45] | 18 | 30 MPH | 48 F | --- |
| [19:45-20:00] | 20 | 23 MPH | 48 F | --- |
| [20:00-20:15] | 15 | 27 MPH | 48 F | --- |
| [20:15-20:30] | 10 | 29 MPH | 50 F | --- |
| [20:30-20:45] | 15 | 24 MPH | 50 F | --- |
| [20:45-21:00] | 34 | 27 MPH | 50 F | --- |

## Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report



## Date/Time/Volume/Average Speed/Temperature Report

| HI-Star ID: 8990 <br>  <br> State:IA <br> City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | WRIGHT BRO <br> Begin: Feb <br> Lane: EB <br> Oper: CAL <br> Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1.02 |  | End: F <br> Hours: 2 <br> Period: 1 <br> Raw Count: 2 <br> AADT Count: 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date And | Period Volume | Average Speed | Roadway Temperature | Roadway Surface Wet/Dry |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |
| [05:30-05:45] | 20 | 22 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [05:45-06:00] | 20 | 27 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [06:00-06:15] | 17 | 23 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [06:15-06:30] | 22 | 22 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [06:30-06:45] | 21 | 25 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [06:45-07:00] | 29 | 23 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [07:00-07:15] | 27 | 21 MPH | 56 F | --- |
| [07:15-07:30] | 33 | 24 MPH | 54 F | --- |
| [07:30-07:45] | 35 | 21 MPH | 54 F | --- |
| [07:45-08:00] | 30 | 22 MPH | 52 F | --- |
| [08:00-08:15] | 30 | 25 MPH | 52 F | --- |
| [08:15-08:30] | 36 | 26 MPH | 50 F | --- |
| [08:30-08:45] | 36 | 23 MPH | 46 F | --- |
| [08:45-09:00] | 31 | 24 MPH | 44 F | --- |
| [09:00-09:15] | 40 | 22 MPH | 42 F | --- |
| [09:15-09:30] | 24 | 24 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [09:30-09:45] | 36 | 25 MPH | 35 F | --- |
| [09:45-10:00] | 32 | 23 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [10:00-10:15] | 45 | 23 MPH | 31 F | --- |
| [10:15-10:30] | 62 | 23 MPH | 35 F | --- |
| [10:30-10:45] | 50 | 24 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [10:45-11:00] | 37 | 25 MPH | 41 F | --- |
| [11:00-11:15] | 25 | 20 MPH | 39 F | --- |
| [11:15-11:30] | 1 | 0 MPH | 35 F | --- |
| [11:30-11:45] | 1 | 75 MPH | 33 F | --- |
| [11:45-12:00] | 0 | 0 MPH | 33 F | -- |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 | 958 | 24 MPH | 49 F |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10 \text { 12:00 } \\ & \mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10 \text { 12:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 2720 | 24 MPH | 46 F |  |

## Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF I380 NB Location: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 8876. The study was done in the EAST BOUND lane at WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF 1380 NB in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $12: 00$ and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1808 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 69 on Feb/25/10 at [06:45-07:00] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/25/10 at [01:15-01:30]. The AADT count for this study was 1,850.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $40-45 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 36 MPH with $20.32 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.90 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 40 MPH and the 85th percentile was 47.10 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1400 which represents 78 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 386 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1400 | 354 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $[06: 45-07: 00$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 12.857 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [01:15-01:30] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 31.00 and 56.00 degrees $F$.
[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8876 <br>  <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
|  | Lane: EAST BOUND |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
|  | Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | Raw Count: 1808AADT Count: 1,850 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 26 | 37 MPH | 37 |  | --- | 1 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 32 | 41 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 20 | 40 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 28 | 39 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 30 | 38 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 28 | 38 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 23 | 37 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 14 | 37 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 25 | 40 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 37 | 32 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 2 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 39 | 36 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 2 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 61 | 31 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 3 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 24 | 39 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 20 | 40 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 42 | 36 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 32 | 36 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 1 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 46 | 32 MPH | 33 | F | --- | 2 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 41 | 34 MPH | 31 | F | --- | 2 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 29 | 35 MPH | 33 | F | --- | 1 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 33 | 33 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 1 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 29 | 32 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 1 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 44 | 35 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 43 | 34 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 25 | 38 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 24 | 38 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 22 | 37 MPH | 42 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 25 | 40 MPH | 42 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 19 | 38 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 1 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 18 | 39 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 20 | 41 MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 13 | 42 MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 10 | 36 MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 21 | 42 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 10 | 40 MPH | 48 |  | --- | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 11 | 45 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 11 | 41 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 10 | 43 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 13 | 45 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 4 | 41 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 9 | 48 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8876 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF I3\& | Lane: EAST BOUND |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 1808 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,850 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 7 | 42MPH | 50 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 13 | 40 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 25 | 41 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 35 | 39 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 13 | 42 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 5 | 42 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 3 | 44 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 1 | 38 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 3 | 31 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 2 | 35 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 1 | 58 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 1 | 52 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 1 | 22MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 3 | 34 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 1 | 42 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | OMPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 1 | 42 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 2 | 48 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 1 | 42 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 2 | 38 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 2 | 50 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 1 | 52 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 0 | OMPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 3 | 49 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 1 | 28 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 3 | 31 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 4 | 40 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 9 | 44 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 11 | 41 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 12 | 38 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 19 | 42 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 60 | 35 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 3 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 69 | 29 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 4 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 33 | 37 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 1 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 51 | 37 MPH | 54 |  | --- | 2 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 48 | 33 MPH | 54 |  | --- | 2 |

## [Raw] Volume Report



# Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF I380 NB Location: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP 

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 8990. The study was done in the EB LT lane at WRIGHT BROS BLVD WEST OF I380 NB in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Feb/24/10 at 12:00 and concluded on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $12: 00$, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2720 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 80 on Feb/24/10 at [15:30-15:45] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/25/10 at [03:15-03:30]. The AADT count for this study was 2,783.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $25-30 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 23 MPH with $0.54 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.04 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 25 MPH and the 85th percentile was 29.87 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 2270 which represents 87 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 325 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2270 | 284 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[15: 30-15: 45$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 11.111 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [03:15-03:30] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 31.00 and 56.00 degrees $F$.

# Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS BLVD Location: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP 

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 8989. The study was done in the NB LT lane at 1380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS BLVD in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Feb/24/10 at 12:00 and concluded on Feb/25/10 at 12:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1339 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 36 on Feb/25/10 at [08:15-08:30] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/25/10 at [00:30-00:45]. The AADT count for this study was 1,370.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $10-15 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 13 MPH with $0.30 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.00 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 10 MPH and the 85th percentile was 19.09 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 848 which represents 86 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 137 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 848 | 97 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [08:15-08:30] the average headway between vehicles was 24.324 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [00:30-00:45] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 31.00 and 52.00 degrees F .
[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8989 <br> Street: I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
|  | Lane: NB LT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
|  | Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | Raw Count: 1339AADT Count: 1,370 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 18 | 13MPH | 35 |  | --- | 1 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 12 | 12 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 16 | 16 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 17 | 13 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 24 | 13MPH | 37 | F | --- | 2 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 19 | 14 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 2 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 23 | 15MPH | 39 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 11 | 18 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 1 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 30 | 14 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 2 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 28 | 15MPH | 37 | F | --- | 3 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 23 | 11 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 33 | 14 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 3 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 28 | 13MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 28 | 13 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 26 | 13 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 20 | 14 MPH | 33 | F | --- | 1 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 21 | 14MPH | 31 | F | --- | 2 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 14 | 15MPH | 33 | F | --- | 0 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 21 | 15 MPH | 33 | F | --- | 1 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 25 | 12 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 20 | 14MPH | 37 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 19 | 12 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 25 | 11 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 2 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 31 | 10MPH | 41 | F | --- | 2 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 16 | 15MPH | 42 |  | --- | 1 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 16 | 12MPH | 42 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 9 | 16 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 9 | 13 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 9 | 11 MPH | 44 |  | --- | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 20 | 16 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 1 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 8 | 15MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 6 | 18MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 11 | 9MPH | 46 | F | --- | 1 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 16 | 12 MPH | 46 | F | --- | 1 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 9 | 10MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 12 | 10MPH | 46 | F | --- | 1 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 13 | 17MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 4 | 11 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 5 | 11 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 4 | 11 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID:8989 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| Street:I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E |  |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: NB LT |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 1339 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | AADT Count: 1,370 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 4 | 18MPH | 50 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 6 | 17 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 5 | 19 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 5 | 14 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 2 | 0MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 1 | 4MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 6 | 11 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 5 | 7 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 6 | 9 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 4 | 8MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 1 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 2 | 12MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 3 | 13 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 6 | 4 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 3 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 2 | 38 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 4 | 5 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 4 | 7 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 2 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 6 | 8MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 4 | 4MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 9 | 7 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 5 | 11 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 16 | 11 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 12 | 18 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 22 | 14 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 2 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 8 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 14 | 11 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 15 | 12MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 19 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 23 | 18 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 17 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 2 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 19 | 16MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 14 | 23 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 19 | 14 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |

Page: 2

## [Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8989 <br> Street:I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E <br> State:IA <br> City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | ```Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 \\ Lane: NB LT \\ Oper: CAL \\ Posted: 40 \\ AADT Factor: 1.023``` |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00Hours: 24.00Period: 15Raw Count: 1339AADT Count: 1,370 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [07:45-08:00] | 26 | 13MPH | 50 F | --- | 2 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 18 | 16MPH | 48 F | --- | 1 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 36 | 16MPH | 44 F | --- | 2 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 24 | 13MPH | 44 F | --- | 2 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 19 | 12 MPH | 41 F | --- | 1 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 13 | 15MPH | 39 F | --- | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 19 | 15MPH | 37 F | --- | 1 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 22 | 16 MPH | 33 F | --- | 1 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 26 | 14MPH | 33 F | --- | 2 |
| [10:00-10:15] | 29 | 13MPH | 35 F | --- | 3 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 27 | 13 MPH | 37 F | --- | 2 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 18 | 11 MPH | 41 F | --- | 1 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 30 | 12 MPH | 41 F | --- | 2 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 21 | 11 MPH | 41 F | --- | 1 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 18 | 17 MPH | 35 F | --- | 1 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 1 | 12MPH | 35 F | --- | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 0 | OMPH | 39 F | --- | 0 |
|  | 1339 | 12 MPH | 45 F |  |  |

# Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS BLVD Location: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP 

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 8991. The study was done in the NB RT lane at 1380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS BLVD in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Feb/24/10 at 12:00 and concluded on Feb/25/10 at 12:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 672 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 26 on Feb/25/10 at [07:30-07:45] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/24/10 at [23:15-23:30]. The AADT count for this study was 687.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $10-15 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 13 MPH with $0.23 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.23 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 10 MPH and the 85th percentile was 19.35 MPH .


[^2]
## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 386 which represents 88 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 55 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $<$ to 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { to } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 386 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 25 / 10$ at $[07: 30-07: 45$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 33.333 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/24/10 at [23:15-23:30] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 31.00 and 54.00 degrees F .
[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8991 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| Street:I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E | Lane: NB RT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: NB RTOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 672 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | AADT Count: 687 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 12 | 8MPH | 39 |  | --- | 1 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 8 | 11 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 9 | 14 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 12 | 14 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 7 | 12MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 5 | 8MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 10 | 11 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 8 | 10 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 7 | 16MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 12 | 15 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 0 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 11 | 8MPH | 39 | F | --- | 0 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 14 | 10 MPH | 37 | F | --- | 1 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 4 | 15MPH | 37 | F | --- | 0 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 7 | 13MPH | 37 | F | --- | 0 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 15 | 13 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 1 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 6 | 12 MPH | 33 | F | --- | 0 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 5 | 14MPH | 31 | F | --- | 0 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 14 | 16MPH | 33 | F | --- | 1 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 5 | 11 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 0 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 14 | 12 MPH | 35 | F | --- | 0 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 11 | 13MPH | 37 | F | --- | 0 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 10 | 13 MPH | 39 | F | --- | 0 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 15 | 10MPH | 41 | F | --- | 0 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 21 | 12 MPH | 41 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 8 | 19MPH | 42 | F | --- | 0 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 5 | 20MPH | 42 | F | --- | 0 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 15 | 12 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 1 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 6 | 19 MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 13 | 10MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 5 | 13MPH | 44 | F | --- | 0 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 12 | 13MPH | 46 | F | --- | 1 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 8 | 10MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 7 | 19MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 15 | 10MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 6 | 11 MPH | 46 | F | --- | 0 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 5 | 18MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 3 | 28MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 10 | 11 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 6 | 11 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 4 | 17 MPH | 48 | F | --- | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8991 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| Street:I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E | Lane: NB RT |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: NB RTOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 672 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | AADT Count: 687 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 8 | 10 MPH | 48 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 3 | 8MPH | 50 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 2 | 18 MPH | 50 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 3 | 22 MPH | 50 |  | --- | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 2 | 12 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 0 | 0MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 5 | 14 MPH | 50 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 2 | 13 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 8 | 10MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 1 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 3 | 14 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 1 | 12 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 0 | OMPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 0 | 0MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 0 | OMPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 0 | 0 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 1 | 22 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 1 | 12 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 1 | 12 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 1 | 12 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 1 | OMPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 5 | 9 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 1 | 4 MPH | 54 |  | --- | 0 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 8 | 10 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 20 | 12 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 13 | 13 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 12 | 12 MPH | 54 |  | --- | 0 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 11 | 14 MPH | 52 |  | --- | 0 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 26 | 15 MPH | 52 |  | --- | 2 |

## [Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8991 <br> Street:I380 NB RAMP @ WRIGHT BROS E <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 Lane: NB RT |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  | Lane: NB RT <br> Oper: CAL |  |  | Per |  |
|  | Pos |  |  | Raw Count: 672AADT Count: 687 |  |
|  | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [07:45-08:00] | 19 | 15MPH | 52 F | --- | 1 |
| [08:00-08:15] | 14 | 16MPH | 50 F | --- | 1 |
| [08:15-08:30] | 17 | 15 MPH | 48 F | --- | 1 |
| [08:30-08:45] | 22 | 17 MPH | 44 F | --- | 2 |
| [08:45-09:00] | 16 | 12 MPH | 42 F | --- | 1 |
| [09:00-09:15] | 12 | 11 MPH | 39 F | --- | 0 |
| [09:15-09:30] | 3 | 13 MPH | 35 F | --- | 0 |
| [09:30-09:45] | 7 | 17 MPH | 31 F | --- | 0 |
| [09:45-10:00] | 7 | 16MPH | 35 F | --- | 0 |
| [10:00-10:15] | 3 | 0MPH | 39 F | --- | 0 |
| [10:15-10:30] | 7 | 15MPH | 41 F | --- | 0 |
| [10:30-10:45] | 11 | 12 MPH | 44 F | --- | 0 |
| [10:45-11:00] | 4 | 11 MPH | 46 F | --- | 0 |
| [11:00-11:15] | 9 | 7 MPH | 46 F | --- | 0 |
| [11:15-11:30] | 11 | 13 MPH | 39 F | --- | 0 |
| [11:30-11:45] | 1 | 75 MPH | 35 F | --- | 0 |
| [11:45-12:00] | 0 | 0MPH | 35 F | --- | 0 |
|  | 672 | 12 MPH | 46 F |  |  |

# Nu-Metrics Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: CEDAR RAPIDS <br> Street: WRIGHT BROS BLVD EAST OF I380 NB Location: WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP 

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with HI-STAR unit number 8992. The study was done in the WEST BOUND lane at WRIGHT BROS BLVD EAST OF I380 NB in CEDAR RAPIDS, IA in LINN county. The study began on Feb/24/10 at 12:00 and concluded on Feb/25/10 at 12:00, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 3648 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 208 on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at [15:00-15:15] and a minimum volume of 0 on Feb/25/10 at [03:45-04:00]. The AADT count for this study was 3,732 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $20-25 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 30 MPH with $15.16 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 40 MPH . The HI-STAR found 0.37 percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 20MPH and the 85th percentile was 45.08 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 3071 which represents 88 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 0 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Tailers in the study was 405 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ \text { to } \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { to } \\ 139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3071 | 376 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on $\mathrm{Feb} / 24 / 10$ at $[15: 00-15: 15$ ] the average headway between vehicles was 4.306 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on Feb/25/10 at [03:45-04:00] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 31.00 and 56.00 degrees $F$.
[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8992 <br> Street:WRIGHT BROS BLVD EAST OF I38 <br> State:IA <br> City:CEDAR RAPIDS <br> County:LINN | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
|  | Lane: WEST BOUND |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
|  | Oper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
|  | Posted: 40 <br> AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | Raw Count: 3648AADT Count: 3,732 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12:00-12:15] | 66 | 33 MPH | 35 F | --- | 3 |
| [12:15-12:30] | 44 | 32 MPH | 37 F | --- | 2 |
| [12:30-12:45] | 45 | 34 MPH | 37 F | --- | 2 |
| [12:45-13:00] | 69 | 34 MPH | 39 F | --- | 3 |
| [13:00-13:15] | 79 | 32 MPH | 39 F | --- | 4 |
| [13:15-13:30] | 45 | 29MPH | 39 F | --- | 3 |
| [13:30-13:45] | 42 | 38 MPH | 41 F | --- | 2 |
| [13:45-14:00] | 65 | 32 MPH | 39 F | --- | 3 |
| [14:00-14:15] | 79 | 31 MPH | 37 F | --- | 4 |
| [14:15-14:30] | 52 | 29MPH | 39 F | --- | 3 |
| [14:30-14:45] | 71 | 31 MPH | 37 F | --- | 4 |
| [14:45-15:00] | 98 | 27 MPH | 35 F | --- | 7 |
| [15:00-15:15] | 208 | 25MPH | 33 F | --- | 13 |
| [15:15-15:30] | 86 | 25MPH | 31 F | --- | 6 |
| [15:30-15:45] | 78 | 26MPH | 33 F | --- | 5 |
| [15:45-16:00] | 119 | 28 MPH | 33 F | --- | 7 |
| [16:00-16:15] | 118 | 23MPH | 35 F | --- | 8 |
| [16:15-16:30] | 65 | 29MPH | 35 F | --- | 4 |
| [16:30-16:45] | 84 | 25MPH | 37 F | --- | 6 |
| [16:45-17:00] | 95 | 26 MPH | 37 F | --- | 6 |
| [17:00-17:15] | 90 | 24 MPH | 39 F | --- | 6 |
| [17:15-17:30] | 76 | 25MPH | 39 F | --- | 5 |
| [17:30-17:45] | 61 | 26MPH | 41 F | --- | 4 |
| [17:45-18:00] | 47 | 32 MPH | 42 F | --- | 2 |
| [18:00-18:15] | 46 | 32 MPH | 44 F | --- | 2 |
| [18:15-18:30] | 41 | 35 MPH | 44 F | --- | 2 |
| [18:30-18:45] | 37 | 36 MPH | 46 F | --- | 1 |
| [18:45-19:00] | 42 | 35 MPH | 46 F | --- | 2 |
| [19:00-19:15] | 48 | 32 MPH | 46 F | --- | 2 |
| [19:15-19:30] | 23 | 39 MPH | 48 F | --- | 1 |
| [19:30-19:45] | 30 | 35 MPH | 48 F | --- | 1 |
| [19:45-20:00] | 39 | 34 MPH | 48 F | --- | 2 |
| [20:00-20:15] | 34 | 42MPH | 48 F | --- | 1 |
| [20:15-20:30] | 43 | 37 MPH | 50 F | --- | 2 |
| [20:30-20:45] | 35 | 41 MPH | 50 F | --- | 1 |
| [20:45-21:00] | 43 | 40 MPH | 50 F | --- | 1 |
| [21:00-21:15] | 35 | 36 MPH | 50 F | --- | 1 |
| [21:15-21:30] | 18 | 41 MPH | 50 F | --- | 0 |
| [21:30-21:45] | 21 | 35 MPH | 52 F | --- | 1 |
| [21:45-22:00] | 8 | 44 MPH | 52 F | --- | 0 |

[Raw] Volume Report

| WRIGHT BROS \& I380 NB RAMP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HI-Star ID: 8992 B |  | Begin: Feb/24/10 12:00 |  | End: Feb/25/10 12:00 |  |
| Street:WRIGHT BROS BLVD EAST OF I38 | Lane: WEST BOUND |  |  | Hours: 24.00 |  |
| State:IA | Lane: WEST BOUNDOper: CAL |  |  | Period: 15 |  |
| City: CEDAR RAPIDS | Posted: 40 |  |  | Raw Count: 3648 |  |
| County:LINN | AADT Factor: 1.023 |  |  | AADT Count: 3,732 |  |
| Date |  |  |  | Roadway |  |
| And | Period | Average | Roadway | Surface | Period |
| Time Range | Volume | Speed | Temperature | Wet/Dry | Occupancy |


| Wed,Feb/24/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [22:00-22:15] | 8 | 35 MPH | 52 |  | --- | 0 |
| [22:15-22:30] | 22 | 37 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 1 |
| [22:30-22:45] | 16 | 43 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [22:45-23:00] | 14 | 34 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:00-23:15] | 73 | 27 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 4 |
| [23:15-23:30] | 11 | 37 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:30-23:45] | 7 | 32 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [23:45-00:00] | 2 | 38 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| Thu,Feb/25/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [00:00-00:15] | 4 | 33 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:15-00:30] | 4 | 45 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:30-00:45] | 3 | 34 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [00:45-01:00] | 2 | 20 MPH | 52 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:00-01:15] | 2 | 45 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:15-01:30] | 1 | 58 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:30-01:45] | 4 | 45 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [01:45-02:00] | 3 | 33 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:00-02:15] | 2 | 40 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:15-02:30] | 1 | 32 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:30-02:45] | 2 | 37 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [02:45-03:00] | 2 | 42 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:00-03:15] | 7 | 32 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:15-03:30] | 3 | 35 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:30-03:45] | 1 | 52 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [03:45-04:00] | 0 | OMPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:00-04:15] | 7 | 42 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:15-04:30] | 3 | 43 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:30-04:45] | 5 | 36 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [04:45-05:00] | 6 | 40 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:00-05:15] | 8 | 35 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:15-05:30] | 10 | 32 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:30-05:45] | 14 | 26 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [05:45-06:00] | 11 | 32 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 0 |
| [06:00-06:15] | 25 | 37 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:15-06:30] | 34 | 35 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 1 |
| [06:30-06:45] | 40 | 30 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 3 |
| [06:45-07:00] | 58 | 30 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 3 |
| [07:00-07:15] | 76 | 25MPH | 56 | F | --- | 5 |
| [07:15-07:30] | 59 | 28 MPH | 56 | F | --- | 3 |
| [07:30-07:45] | 69 | 28 MPH | 54 | F | --- | 4 |

## [Raw] Volume Report



## Appendix 4: Model Outputs

## Traffic Analysis No Airport Expansion




## Intersection Summary

Average Delay
Intersection Capacity Uillzation
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | $\stackrel{ }{4}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 㓌 |  | 7 | 中t |  | \% | ¢ | F | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 50 | 85 | 25 | 50 | 301 | 13 | 34 | 100 | 305 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 54 | 92 | 27 | 54 | 327 | 14 | 37 | 109 | 332 | 54 | 27 | 27 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 341 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 528 | 665 | 60 | 652 | 671 | 171 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 341 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 528 | 665 | 60 | 652 | 671 | 171 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\text {c }}$, single ( s ) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 |  |  | 96 |  |  | 90 | 69 | 67 | 68 | 92 | 97 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1214 |  |  | 1466 |  |  | 370 | 349 | 993 | 168 | 346 | 843 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB2 | EB3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | WB3 | NB 1 | NB2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 54 | 62 | 58 | 54 | 218 | 123 | 37 | 440 | 54 | 54 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 54 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 27 |  |  |
| cSH | 1214 | 1700 | 1700 | 1466 | 1700 | 1700 | 370 | 1319 | 168 | 491 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.11 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ti) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 33 | 9 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 12.8 | 36.3 | 13.3 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | B | E | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.5 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 13.0 |  | 24.8 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 35.6\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


c Critical Lane Group

F:\0332700-114044.01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2012_AM.syn
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|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 今 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 255 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 491 | 50 | 102 | O | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 |  |  | 1840 |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.15 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 287 | 1863 |  |  | 1840 |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 277 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 54 | 111 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 277 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 582 | 0 | 111 | 10 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | , |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 22.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g ( s ) | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 22.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.58 |  |  | 0.37 |  | 0.28 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 389 | 1086 |  |  | 674 |  | 501 | 448 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | c0.11 | 0.07 |  |  | c0.32 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.71 | 0.12 |  |  | 0.86 |  | 0.22 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.1 | 5.6 |  |  | 17.6 |  | 16.4 | 15.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.60 | 0.93 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 9.9 | 0.2 |  |  | 13.8 |  | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 26.1 | 5.4 |  |  | 31.4 |  | 17.5 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | C | A |  |  | C |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 19.6 |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 25.3 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 60.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 58.7\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 | " | \% | 4 |  |  |  |  | \% | $t$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 285 | 40 | 79 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 159 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.54 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 999 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 310 | 43 | 86 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 173 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 310 | 17 | 86 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 69 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 745 | 633 | 399 | 745 |  |  |  |  | 708 | 633 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.17 |  |  | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.04 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.11 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 8.6 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 7.5 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 2.27 | 3.93 | 0.87 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 21.3 | 28.7 | 8.0 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  | 7.5 | 7.9 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C | C | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 22.2 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 13.7 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 40.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 39.2\% |  | CU Level of | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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c Critical Lane Group



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ |  | 4 | 4 | $P$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBA | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Contigurations | $\%$ | 性 |  | \% | 餄 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ | \% | F |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 70 | 115 | 35 | 70 | 405 | 20 | 45 | 135 | 410 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 76 | 125 | 38 | 76 | 440 | 22 | 49 | 147 | 446 | 76 | 38 | 38 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 462 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 726 | 910 | 82 | 891 | 918 | 231 |
| $\mathrm{VC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 462 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 726 | 910 | 82 | 891 | 918 | 231 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t \mathrm{~F}$ (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| po queue free \% | 93 |  |  | 95 |  |  | 79 | 39 | 54 | 0 | 84 | 95 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1096 |  |  | 1413 |  |  | 237 | 240 | 962 | 60 | 238 | 771 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB3 | WB 1 | WB2 | WB3 | NB1 | NB 2 | SB1 | SB2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 83 | 80 | 76 | 293 | 168 | 49 | 592 | 76 | 76 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 76 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 76 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 38 |  |  |
| cSH | 1096 | 1700 | 1700 | 1413 | 1700 | 1700 | 237 | 970 | 60 | 363 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.61 | 1.27 | 0.21 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 108 | 161 | 19 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 19.1 | 320.3 | 17.5 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | C | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.7 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  | 19.5 |  | 168.9 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | F |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 25.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 43.6\% |  | CU Level or | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBA | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBA |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢ |  |  |  |  | 9 | $\hat{\square}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 555 | 55 | 290 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 195 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Fll Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 706 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 603 | 60 | 315 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 212 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 603 | 43 | 315 | 332 | 0 | 0 | - | , | 43 | 40 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 1345 | 1143 | 509 | 1345 |  |  |  |  | 334 | 299 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.03 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.03 | c0.45 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 5.1 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  | 30.3 | 30.4 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.06 | 0.59 | 0.69 | 0.80 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.1 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.9 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 6.5 | 2.2 | 9.0 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  | 31.1 | 31.3 |  |
| Level of Service |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 31.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 10.3 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Se | rvice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.3\% |  | CU Level of | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | ¢ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | t |  | 7 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 340 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 70 | 135 | - | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 |  |  | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |  |  |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 528 | 1863 |  |  | 1839 |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 370 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 76 | 147 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 370 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 789 | 0 | 147 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | - |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |  | 66.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |  | 66.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.73 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 387 | 1366 |  |  | 1348 |  | 314 | 281 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | c0.70 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.96 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.59 |  | 0.47 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 10.7 | 3.5 |  |  | 5.6 |  | 33.2 | 30.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 2.40 | 2.52 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 34.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 1.9 |  | 4.9 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 59.9 | 9.1 |  |  | 7.5 |  | 38.1 | 30.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | E | A |  |  | A |  | D | C |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 43.6 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 24.0 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of | ervice |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.3\% |  | CU Level or | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个家 |  | \％ | 性 |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | F | 7 | ¢ |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 35 | 90 | 15 | 70 | 420 | 20 | 35 | 135 | 350 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 38 | 98 | 16 | 76 | 457 | 22 | 38 | 147 | 380 | 76 | 38 | 38 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（tt／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（ t ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PX，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC，conflicting volume | 478 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 620 | 812 | 57 | 818 | 810 | 239 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 478 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 620 | 812 | 57 | 818 | 810 | 239 |
| tC，single（s） | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC， 2 stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF （s） | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \％ | 96 |  |  | 95 |  |  | 87 | 48 | 62 | 18 | 87 | 95 |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 1080 |  |  | 1473 |  |  | 298 | 285 | 997 | 93 | 286 | 762 |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB2 | EB3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | WB 3 | NB1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 38 | 65 | 49 | 76 | 304 | 174 | 38 | 527 | 76 | 76 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 38 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 76 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 380 | 0 | 38 |  |  |
| cSH | 1080 | 1700 | 1700 | 1473 | 1700 | 1700 | 298 | 1023 | 93 | 416 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 0.18 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（t） | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 76 | 110 | 17 |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 16.2 | 130.1 | 15.6 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | C | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 2.1 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 16.4 |  | 72.8 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | F |  |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 14.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $39.9 \%$ |

ICU Level of Service
A
Analysis Period（min）
15

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBA |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | \% | $\hat{\square}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 380 | 45 | 105 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 215 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.42 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 778 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 413 | 49 | 114 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 234 |
| ATOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | , | 413 | 21 | 114 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 94 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 786 | 668 | 328 | 786 |  |  |  |  | 708 | 633 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.22 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.06 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.01 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.35 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.15 |  |
| Unitorm Delay, d1 |  | 9.7 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 8.6 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 2.25 | 4.03 | 1.19 | 1.22 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 24.2 | 30.8 | 13.1 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  | 8.5 | 9.1 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C | C | B | B |  |  |  |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 24.9 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 16.6 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 45.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 49.1\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | W/BR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 性 |  | 7 | 住 |  | \% | 4 | 7 | 4 | F |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 80 | 130 | 40 | 80 | 470 | 20 | 55 | 155 | 475 | 80 | 40 | 40 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 87 | 141 | 43 | 87 | 511 | 22 | 60 | 168 | 516 | 87 | 43 | 43 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 533 |  |  | 185 |  |  | 832 | 1043 | 92 | 1024 | 1054 | 266 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 533 |  |  | 185 |  |  | 832 | 1043 | 92 | 1024 | 1054 | 266 |
| $t \mathrm{C}$, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 92 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 67 | 14 | 45 | 0 | 77 | 94 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1031 |  |  | 1387 |  |  | 182 | 196 | 947 | 21 | 193 | 732 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | EB2 | EB 3 | W 1 | WB2 | WB3 | NB1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 87 | 94 | 91 | 87 | 341 | 192 | 60 | 685 | 87 | 87 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 87 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 87 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 516 | 0 | 43 |  |  |
| cSH | 1031 | 1700 | 1700 | 1387 | 1700 | 1700 | 182 | 733 | 21 | 305 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.93 | 4.11 | 0.29 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 332 | Err | 29 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 42.9 | Err | 21.4 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | D | E | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.8 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  | 42.2 |  | 5010.2 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | F |  |  |  |
| ntersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 499.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 48.7\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBA | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | S明 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 今 |  | \% |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 395 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 765 | 80 | 160 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 |  |  | 1839 |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.08 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 155 | 1863 |  |  | 1839 |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 429 | 201 | 0 | - | 832 | 87 | 174 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 429 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 915 | , | 174 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA |  | custom |  | ustom |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |  | 44.0 |  | 16.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |  | 44.0 |  | 16.0 |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.49 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 436 | 1366 |  |  | 899 |  | 314 |  | 281 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | c0.20 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.52 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.10 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.98 | 0.15 |  |  | 1.02 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, dy | 29.1 | 3.6 |  |  | 23.0 |  | 33.7 |  | 30.6 |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.10 | 2.33 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 36.8 | 0.2 |  |  | 34.5 |  | 6.9 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 68.7 | 8.6 |  |  | 57.5 |  | 40.6 |  | 30.8 |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | E | A |  |  | E |  | D |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 49.5 |  |  | 57.5 |  |  | 38.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 52.2 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of | envice |  | D |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of los | lime (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 85.9\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | - | $\rightarrow$ | V | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 車 |  | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 4 | F' | ${ }^{4}$ | $\hat{\theta}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 40 | 100 | 15 | 80 | 490 | 20 | 45 | 155 | 405 | 80 | 40 | 40 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 43 | 109 | 16 | 87 | 533 | 22 | 49 | 168 | 440 | 87 | 43 | 43 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( ft ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v C$, conflicting volume | 554 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 709 | 932 | 62 | 943 | 929 | 277 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 554 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 709 | 932 | 62 | 943 | 929 | 277 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 80 | 29 | 55 | 0 | 82 | 94 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1012 |  |  | 1459 |  |  | 240 | 239 | 989 | 48 | 239 | 720 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | WB 1 | WB 2 | WB 3 | NB 1 | NB2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 43 | 72 | 53 | 87 | 355 | 199 | 49 | 609 | 87 | 87 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 43 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 87 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 440 | 0 | 43 |  |  |
| cSH | 1012 | 1700 | 1700 | 1459 | 1700 | 1700 | 240 | 862 | 48 | 359 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.71 | 1.81 | 0.24 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( ft ) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 151 | 216 | 23 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 22.1 | 567.2 | 18.2 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | C | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 22.2 |  | 292.7 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | F |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 40.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 43.4\% |  | U Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | W/BL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 | 7 | \% | 个 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | F |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 445 | 60 | 125 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 245 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Utill. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 713 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 484 | 65 | 136 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 266 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 484 | 34 | 136 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 93 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | ustom |  | custom |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  | 21.0 |
| Effective Green, g ( s ) |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |  |  |  | 21.0 |  | 21.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |  |  |  | 0.35 |  | 0.35 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 962 | 817 | 368 | 962 |  |  |  |  | 619 |  | 554 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.26 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.02 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  | c0.06 |
| $v / \mathrm{Ratio}$ |  | 0.50 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  | 0.09 |  | 0.17 |
| Unitorm Delay, d1 |  | 9.5 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.1 |  | 13.5 |
| Progression Factor |  | 0.94 | 0.60 | 1.30 | 1.24 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.8 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 |
| Delay (s) |  | 10.8 | 4.4 | 13.8 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 14.1 |
| Level of Service |  | B | A | B | B |  |  |  |  | B |  | B |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 10.0 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 11.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.37 |  | 8.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $54.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 車为 |  | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | 7 | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 70 | 115 | 35 | 70 | 425 | 20 | 45 | 135 | 435 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 76 | 125 | 38 | 76 | 462 | 22 | 49 | 147 | 473 | 76 | 38 | 38 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（tt） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（t／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（ti） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX ，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC ，conflicting volume | 484 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 736 | 932 | 82 | 913 | 940 | 242 |
| vC1，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 484 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 736 | 932 | 82 | 913 | 940 | 242 |
| tC ，single（s） | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC， 2 stage（ s ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \％ | 93 |  |  | 95 |  |  | 79 | 37 | 51 | 0 | 83 | 95 |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 1075 |  |  | 1413 |  |  | 232 | 233 | 962 | 53 | 230 | 759 |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB1 | EB2 | EB3 | WB1 | WB 2 | WB3 | NB 1 | NB2 | SB1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 83 | 80 | 76 | 308 | 176 | 49 | 620 | 76 | 76 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 76 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 76 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 473 | 0 | 38 |  |  |
| cSH | 1075 | 1700 | 1700 | 1413 | 1700 | 1700 | 232 | 984 | 53 | 354 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 1.44 | 0.22 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ t ） | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 116 | 174 | 20 |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 19.7 | 402.6 | 18.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | c | C | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 2.7 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 20.1 |  | 210.3 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | F |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 28.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 45．1\％ |  | CU Level of | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 1 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $t$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBA | SBL | SBT | SBA |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 ${ }^{\text {²}}$ | \% | 4 |  |  |  |  | \% | F |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 570 | 65 | 290 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | , | 205 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.37 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 688 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 620 | 71 | 315 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 223 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 620 | 51 | 315 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 42 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $g(s)$ |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 |  |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 1345 | 1143 | 496 | 1345 |  |  |  |  | 334 | 299 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.03 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.03 | c0.46 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.64 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.14 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 5.2 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  | 30.3 | 30.4 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.07 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.78 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.1 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 1.0 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 6.7 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  | 31.1 | 31.4 |  |
| Level of Service |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 6.2 |  |  | 6.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 31.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10.4 |  | CM 2000 | evel of | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | um of lost | ime (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 76.4\% |  | CU Level of | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | , | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | \% | ¢t |  | 7 | 个禹 |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | 1 | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 35 | 90 | 15 | 70 | 440 | 20 | 35 | 135 | 370 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 38 | 98 | 16 | 76 | 478 | 22 | 38 | 147 | 402 | 76 | 38 | 38 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 500 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 630 | 834 | 57 | 840 | 832 | 250 |
| $v C 1$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 500 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 630 | 834 | 57 | 840 | 832 | 250 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 |  |  | 95 |  |  | 87 | 47 | 60 | 10 | 86 | 95 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1060 |  |  | 1473 |  |  | 291 | 276 | 997 | 84 | 278 | 750 |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | EB2 | EB 3 | WB1 | WB2 | WB3 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 38 | 65 | 49 | 76 | 319 | 181 | 38 | 549 | 76 | 76 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 38 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 76 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 402 | 0 | 38 |  |  |
| cSH | 1060 | 1700 | 1700 | 1473 | 1700 | 1700 | 291 | 1034 | 84 | 405 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.90 | 0.19 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 81 | 121 | 17 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 16.6 | 159.4 | 15.9 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | C | F | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.1 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  | 16.8 |  | 87.7 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | F |  |  |  |
| ntersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 40.4\% |  | CU Level o | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\cdots$ | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 性 |  | \％ | 个个 |  |  |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 250 | 51 | 460 | 155 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ | 0\％ |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 272 | 55 | 500 | 168 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（t） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（t／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（tt） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume |  |  | 327 |  | 1384 | 164 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 327 |  | 1384 | 164 |  |
| tC，single（s） |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |
| tC， 2 stage（ s ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \％ |  |  | 59 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| CM capacity（veh／h） |  |  | 1229 |  | 80 | 852 |  |
| Direction，Lane\＃ | EB 1 | EB2 | WB 1 | WB2 | WB3 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 181 | 146 | 500 | 84 | 84 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1229 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ t ） | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.0 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 40．7\％ |  | CU Level o | Service | A |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
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|  | 4 | 7 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ | F |  | ¢ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 585 | 10 | 0 | 115 | 160 | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 636 | 11 | 0 | 125 | 174 | 0 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | EB2 | NB 1 | SB1 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 636 | 11 | 125 | 174 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 636 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.53 | -0.67 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.0 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 1.05 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.29 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 599 | 743 | 575 | 583 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 74.7 | 6.6 | 10.8 | 11.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 73.6 |  | 10.8 | 11.5 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | B | B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 53.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.5\% |  | CU Level of | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

F:\0332700-114044.01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2032_AM_Plus_Sig.syn 2: 1-90 NB Off Ramp/I-90 NB On Ramp \& STH 84

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | 7\% | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 425 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 770 | 80 | 185 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.10 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 371 | 1863 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 |  | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 462 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 837 | 87 | 201 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 462 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 837 | 48 | 201 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | custom |  | custom |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, g ( s ) | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.24 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 500 | 1197 |  |  | 931 | 791 | 429 |  | 384 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | c0.08 | 0.11 |  |  | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.51 |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | c0.11 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.92 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.47 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.4 | 5.0 |  |  | 15.9 | 9.0 | 22.6 |  | 20.2 |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.43 | 1.12 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 21.8 | 0.3 |  |  | 13.3 | 0.1 | 3.6 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 46.6 | 5.9 |  |  | 29.2 | 9.2 | 26.3 |  | 20.4 |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | D | A |  |  | C | A | C |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 34.0 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | c |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 29.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | C |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 70.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 72.9\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group
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|  | $\rangle$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }_{7}$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 555 | 10 | 0 | 115 | 135 | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 603 | 11 | 0 | 125 | 147 | 0 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | EB2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 603 | 11 | 125 | 147 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 603 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.53 | -0.67 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.9 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 607 | 757 | 580 | 582 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 55.8 | 6.5 | 10.8 | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 55.0 |  | 10.8 | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | F |  | B | B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 41.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 44.5\% |  | Level of | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | \% |  | 4 |  |  | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 555 | 10 | 0 | 115 | 135 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 603 | 11 | 0 | 125 | 147 | 0 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 603 | 6 | 0 | 125 | 147 | 0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm |  | NA | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 920 | 823 |  | 596 | 596 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.34 |  |  | 0.07 | c0.08 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.01 |  | 0.21 | 0.25 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.7 | 5.8 |  | 12.4 | 12.6 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.6 | 0.0 |  | 0.8 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Delay ( s ) | 12.4 | 5.8 |  | 13.2 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.3 |  |  | 13.2 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 12.6 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 50.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) | 8.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 44.5\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

F:IO332700-114044.01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2032_PM_Plus_Sig.syn 1: I-90 SB On Ramp/l-90 SB Off Ramp \& STH 84

c Critical Lane Group


## Traffic Analysis

Airport Expansion Improved Geometry



F:\0332700-114044.01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2022_AM_Plus_Sig.syn


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F | 717 | 4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | \% |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 570 | 65 | 290 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 205 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Fit Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1112 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 620 | 71 | 315 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 223 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 620 | 40 | 315 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 67 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  | 0.30 | 0.30 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 1055 | 897 | 630 | 1055 |  |  |  |  | 531 | 474 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.33 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.04 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.03 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.59 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.14 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 8.4 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  | 15.1 | 15.3 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.11 | 0.59 | 1.42 | 1.44 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.6 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 11.7 | 3.5 | 13.5 | 10.6 |  |  |  |  | 15.4 | 16.0 |  |
| Level of Service |  | B | A | B | B |  |  |  |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 10.9 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 15.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12.2 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 60.0 |  | Sum of los | lime (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 62.8\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F:\0332700-114044.01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2022_AM_Plus_Sig.syn 2: I-90 NB Off Ramp/I-90 NB On Ramp \& STH 84

|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | ¢ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBA |
| Lane Configurations | \% 7 | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \# | \% | $\hat{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 350 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 70 | 145 | 45 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |
| FIt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1746 |  |  |  |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.26 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 950 | 1863 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1746 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 380 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 76 | 158 | 49 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 380 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 46 | 158 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.60 |  |  | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 570 | 1117 |  |  | 1117 | 949 | 472 | 465 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | c0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.67 | 0.16 |  |  | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.0 | 5.3 |  |  | 7.8 | 4.9 | 17.7 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.52 | 1.33 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 5.1 | 0.3 |  |  | 2.8 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 17.3 | 7.3 |  |  | 10.6 | 5.0 | 19.6 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  |  | B | A | B | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 14.1 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12.8 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 60.0 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 62.8\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | - | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P | ( | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 郎 |  | 7 | 个4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | " | \% | $\hat{A}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 35 | 90 | 15 | 70 | 440 | 20 | 35 | 135 | 370 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3465 |  | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1723 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.48 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 892 | 3465 |  | 1266 | 3539 | 1583 | 1318 | 1863 | 1583 | 1236 | 1723 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 38 | 98 | 16 | 76 | 478 | 22 | 38 | 147 | 402 | 76 | 38 | 38 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | , | 241 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 38 | 104 | 0 | 76 | 478 | 9 | 38 | 147 | 161 | 76 | 53 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.40 |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 356 | 1386 |  | 506 | 1415 | 633 | 527 | 745 | 633 | 494 | 689 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.03 |  |  | c0.14 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.04 |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | c0.10 | 0.06 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.08 |  | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.08 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 7.5 | 7.4 |  | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.4 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 2.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 8.1 | 7.5 |  | 8.3 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 23.0 | 8.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A |  | A | A | A | A | A | C | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.7 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 8.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12.1 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 40.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 40.1\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

F：I0332700－114044．01 CID Airport\TrafficAnalysis\Ramps＿2022＿PM＿Plus＿Sig．syn
1：I－90 SB On Ramp／I－90 SB Off Ramp \＆SYH 84／STH 84
3／19／2013

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个 | F | 介凩 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | 9 | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 0 | 390 | 55 | 105 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 225 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1027 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 424 | 60 | 114 | 348 | 0 | O | 0 | － | 43 | 0 | 245 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 424 | 22 | 114 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 78 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） |  | 18.0 | 18.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Effective Green， $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 18.0 | 18.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |  |  |  | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） |  | 670 | 569 | 726 | 968 |  |  |  |  | 566 | 506 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0．23 |  | 0.01 | c0．19 |  |  |  |  |  | c0．05 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.01 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.15 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 |  | 13.3 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  | 11.8 | 12.2 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.27 | 2.01 | 0.90 | 1.12 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 |  | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.7 |  |
| Delay（s） |  | 21.3 | 21.0 | 6.7 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  | 12.1 | 12.8 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C | c | A | A |  |  |  |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 21.2 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 14.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratioActuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 50.0 |  | Sum of lost | time（s） |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47．8\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F:IO332700-114044.01 CID Airport|TrafficAnalysis\Ramps_2022_PM_Plus_Sig.syn
2: I-90 NB Off Ramp/l-90 NB On Ramp \& STH 84
3/19/2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 車 $\hat{\square}$ |  | \% | ¢ | 7 | \% | 4 | 7 | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 80 | 130 | 40 | 80 | 525 | 20 | 60 | 155 | 540 | 80 | 40 | 40 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3415 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1723 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 |  | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 526 | 3415 |  | 1184 | 1863 | 1583 | 1306 | 1863 | 1583 | 1212 | 1723 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 87 | 141 | 43 | 87 | 571 | 22 | 65 | 168 | 587 | 87 | 43 | 43 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 87 | 161 | 0 | 87 | 571 | 10 | 65 | 168 | 223 | 87 | 59 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.46 | 0.46 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 241 | 1570 |  | 544 | 856 | 728 | 496 | 707 | 601 | 460 | 654 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.05 |  |  | c0.31 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.17 |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.01 | 0.05 |  | c0.14 | 0.07 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.10 |  | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.09 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.7 | 7.7 |  | 7.9 | 10.5 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 10.0 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 3.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 4.2 | 0.1 |  | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 |  |
| Delay (s) | 12.9 | 7.8 |  | 8.5 | 14.6 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 45.0 | 11.3 | 10.2 |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  | A | B | A | B | B | D | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 9.4 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 35.3 |  |  | 10.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 21.9 | HCM 2000 Level of Service |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.53 | HCM 2000 Level or Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length ( $s$ ) |  |  | 50.0 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |  |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 58.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | \% |  | 4 | 9 | $\pm$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 7 |  | 4 | 中 |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 555 | 10 | 0 | 115 | 135 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 603 | 11 | 0 | 125 | 147 | 0 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 603 | 6 | 0 | 125 | 147 | 0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm |  | NA | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |
| Effective Green, g ( s ) | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 920 | 823 |  | 596 | 596 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.34 |  |  | 0.07 | c0.08 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.01 |  | 0.21 | 0.25 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 8.7 | 5.8 |  | 12.4 | 12.6 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.6 | 0.0 |  | 0.8 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 12.4 | 5.8 |  | 13.2 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  | B | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.3 |  |  | 13.2 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12.6 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 50.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) | 8.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 44.5\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBP |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 性 |  | 7 | 4 | F | 9 | 个 | 7 | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 40 | 100 | 15 | 80 | 540 | 20 | 50 | 155 | 465 | 80 | 40 | 40 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3471 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1723 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 526 | 3471 |  | 1253 | 1863 | 1583 | 1306 | 1863 | 1583 | 1212 | 1723 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 43 | 109 | 16 | 87 | 587 | 22 | 54 | 168 | 505 | 87 | 43 | 43 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | , | 0 | 325 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 43 | 116 | 0 | 87 | 587 | 10 | 54 | 168 | 180 | 87 | 58 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.0 | 21.0 |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 21.0 | 21.0 |  | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.47 |  | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 245 | 1619 |  | 584 | 869 | 738 | 464 | 662 | 562 | 430 | 612 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.03 |  |  | c0.32 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.08 |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.01 | 0.04 |  | c0.11 | 0.07 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{CRatio}$ | 0.18 | 0.07 |  | 0.15 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.10 |  |
| Unitorm Delay, d1 | 7.0 | 6.6 |  | 6.9 | 9.3 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.7 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |  | 0.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 |  |
| Delay (s) | 8.5 | 6.7 |  | 7.4 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 10.0 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A |  | A | B | A | B | B | B | B | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11.5 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 45.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 55.8\% |  | CU Level | fervice |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ | $\psi$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ | 7 | \%17 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 480 | 85 | 125 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 270 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Fit |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1863 | 1583 | 1084 | 1863 |  |  |  |  | 1770 |  | 1583 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 522 | 92 | 136 | 418 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 54 | 0 | 293 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 522 | 37 | 136 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 125 |
| Turn Type |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  | custom |  | custom |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 |  | 16.0 |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  | 16.0 |  | 16.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  | 0.40 |  | 0.40 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 745 | 633 | 433 | 745 |  |  |  |  | 708 |  | 633 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0.28 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.02 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  | c0.08 |
| $v / \mathrm{Ratio}$ |  | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.20 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 10.0 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  | 7.4 |  | 7.8 |
| Progression Factor |  | 2.11 | 4.63 | 0.78 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 5.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |
| Delay (s) |  | 26.3 | 34.3 | 8.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  | 7.6 |  | 8.5 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C | A | B |  |  |  |  | A |  | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 27.5 |  |  | 9.9 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 8.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | c |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 16.7 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of S | rvice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 40.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.1\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group
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## Overview

On Thursday 8th March, 2012 Manus McDevitt of Sustainable Engineering Group met with Matt Dubbe, Andy Olson, Mitch Walker and Katie Haun from Mead and Hunt to review several key buildings at the Eastern Iowa Airport. The major focus of this effort was on the larger terminal building but several of the outlying buildings were also briefly examined.

The objective of this effort is to observe the main energy consuming building systems such as heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting, plug load and domestic hot water usage and gain a reasonable understanding of the potential opportunities that may be available to enhance energy efficiency and sustainability at the facility. This information will be used for consideration when compiling the Master Plan for the airport, currently being written by Mead and Hunt. Also, it is understood that there may be a design project in the near future for the main terminal building involving upgrades to the main public spaces. This report shall try to address on a big-picture basis both the long-term master plan and medium term terminal design issues. Further investigation and analysis should be performed if any more detail is required.

## Terminal Building

## Building Envelop

It was observed that there was a mix of double and single glazed curtain wall throughout the exterior of the building. The height of the glass was at around 7' which is somewhat restricting the passage of natural light deep into the building. A large skylight in the center of the building was observed to be clear glass. This is likely contributing to the creation of hot spots in the space below during peak summer days. Glare may also be an issue as there appears to be little or no tinting on the glass. It was difficult to observe the roof and wall insulation but it would be expected that since the building was originally constructed in 1984 that code-minimum amount of insulation was provided at this time. Subsequent renovations were also likely to have codeminimum insulation levels for the building envelop.

For future work it may be worth considering the existing curtain wall system with a more energy efficient type that will also allow daylight to enter at a higher level than existing. The new curtain wall could include a thermal break system such as Azon Warm-Light (see below).


In general it was also found that the seals at doors and opening appeared to be worn resulting in unconditioned outdoor air infiltrating into the building. At the time of the next building upgrade it is recommended that all exterior door and opening seals be replaced.

## Heating, Cooling and Ventilation Systems

The terminal building is mostly heated and cooled using water-source heat pump units located in mechanical rooms or ceiling spaces close to the thermal zones they serve. There are approximately (80) heat pumps in service throughout the building. A piping system is connected to each heat pump that provides a water supply at a controlled temperature somewhere between cooling and heating setpoints (unable to confirm actual conditions but these were noted in another document as 80 F heating and 75 F cooling - note that typically these are 55 F heating and 85F cooling).

There is a Direct Digital Control system controlling the operations of all the heating and cooling equipment. From observation this appears to be a fairly comprehensive system and one that can be used as a basis for expanding on for future work.

Based on some comments made by the facilities staff it appears that the heat pump equipment involves regular effort in diagnosing and repairing maintenance issues. It is recommended as part of the upcoming architectural design project for the terminal to further investigate the issues with the heat pumps and make corrections to the system design as needed to eliminate or reduce these issues. Also, control setpoints appear to be different from what is typically seen in these types of systems so it is recommended that time should be spent reviewing these setpoints and corrections made where necessary.

The major heat pump and cooling tower equipment and pumps appear to be original to the time when they were installed. It was noted that the original building was built in 1984 but several additions happened after this time. The typical heat pump life is 19 years and cooling tower life is 20 years so it would be worth considering replacement of this equipment fairly soon. The boilers appear to be recently installed and in good condition. These units typically last up to 30
years with regular service and maintenance so replacement will not be necessary well into the future.

Two newer rooftop units have been installed recently and based on feedback from facilities staff there are some operational issues that are still being worked on.

Iowa has a successful track record with installing geothermal heat pump systems. The piping and ductwork systems for water-source and ground-source systems is very similar therefore when the time comes to replace the major HVAC equipment consideration should be given to conversion to a ground-source system. Note that Alliant Energy is currently offering incentives of around $\$ 350$ per ton of installed cooling system for geothermal heat pumps. Below shows a Google satellite photo where it can be seen that there appears to be a large green-space to the east of the terminal building where a potential geothermal field could be installed. The area needed will likely be around $50 \%$ of the terminal building footprint.


## Lighting

Daylight harvesting offers one of the major opportunities for energy conservation in the terminal building. Effective daylighting will also provide a more natural and pleasing indoor environment that will only add to the sense of well-being within the facility. The terminal building is mostly a single story building with high ceilings and a significant above-ceiling space. This offers opportunities to install natural lighting systems such as translucent roof-mounted panels (for example, Calwall panels with VLT's of $20 \%$ and R-20 insulation value). Also, if the curtainwall exterior was to be refurbished (recommended as some curtain-wall is single-glazed with no
emissivity coating observed) a new curtain-wall could offer lighting at higher parts of the wall system that allows for deeper daylighting to the interior space.

It was noted that within the last 1-2 years a majority of the $2 x 2$ light fixtures have been upgraded to a more efficient T-8 type and that these fixtures may not be able to be re-used if a daylighting control system is specified since dimmable ballast fixtures will be required.

Notice in the picture below the contrast between the electric-lit foreground and the naturally lit background.


A variety of lamp types can be used to augment with artificial lighting such as 4' linear 25Watt T8 suspended uplights with dimmable ballasts. Spot lighting can be effectively provided using LED fixtures, or compact fluorescent. It is recommended that a daylighting simulation software be used to optimize natural light levels throughout the space. This will optimize placement of vision and daylight glazing as well as color selections to enhance the effect of natural light. Below is a typical output from a computer-generated daylighting software tool for a corridor space.


For reference, below is the Portland International Airport where the daytime lighting power consumption is only $0.17 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{SF}$ with daylighting averaging around 12 hours per day.


Also, below are some rules of thumb when considering daylight design. The diagram below illustrates the importance of the placement of glass as high as possible in the exterior wall.


Effect of increasing window-head height:


Effect of placement of light shelving at exterior (exterior/interior shelf provides the more even light distribution, but not necessarily the deepest):


## Emergency Generator

A 1,250KVA generator is available for emergency operation. Consideration may be given to using this unit as a peak-shaving device to help reduce demand charges. Sometimes clients are very nervous about using their generators for anything but emergency usage so this measure should be approached carefully.

## Field Maintenance Building

## Building Envelop

This building had its original wall and roof insulation in tact and appeared to be in reasonably good shape. In the future, as an energy conservation measure, it may be worth considering stripping this off and replacing with a SIP or other type of paneled insulation system with a higher R-value.

Infiltration did not appear to be an issue since the large overhead doors were well-sealed around their edge and minimal daylight could be seen.

## Heating, Cooling and Ventilation Systems

Only the office portion was air-conditioned. The unit was a residential style condensing style furnace air-conditioner with above-average rated energy efficiency. It is expected that there is at least several years of useful life left on this unit.

A single bathroom exhaust fan served both male and female bathrooms and the facilities staff noted that this fan remained on 24/7. An occupancy switch to turn the fan off when the bathrooms are unoccupied would be an effective energy efficiency measure here.

The main warehouse area is heated using infra-red radiant heaters suspended at high level off the roof structure. These units appeared to be in relatively good condition. Also, (3) mixed air units located over the main doorways are likely to be original to the building and are operated in conjunction with the specialized exhaust fans used for maintenance and servicing work.

All HVAC equipment appears to be installed original to the building and has an expected life of approximately 20 years. It is recommended that at the next major renovation project for this building that all equipment is replaced and newer, higher energy efficient equipment is installed.

## Lighting Systems

The main floor areas are lit using high-bay metal halide lamps. It is unclear as to their wattage but its likely that these are 400 Watt fixtures. High bay T5 lamps work very well as replacements for these types of units and would be worth replacing in the near future.


Natural lighting systems should also be considered for this facility, similar to the recently renovated baggage facility that has a combination of Solatubes (or similar) and high efficiency fluorescent lighting. Below is a file photo of a warehouse type facility naturally lit with these types of devices.


## Other Buildings Observed from the Exterior

It was noted that a significant amount of exterior lighting was original to the buildings. It would be worth considering retrofitting these light fixtures with a lower energy use type. Upon reviewing Alliant Energy’s website it appears that there are attractive incentives available to help offset the cost of this work.
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## cgs <br> COMPANIES ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> PROJECT CONTEXT

Airport Vicinity


## Scope, Methodology \& Bases

 of Findings- Assessment of non-aviation \& aviation property
- Client work sessions
- Stakeholder engagement
- Market research, fieldwork, analytics
- Regional, reference, and study areas
- Economic development coordination
- Demand assessment
- Benchmarking and development concept research
- C\&S staff experience


## CID Study Area



Source: CoStar, C\&S Companies
Study Area Context


Source: Google Earth, C\&S Companies

Commercial Inventory Comparison

|  | Linn County |  | CID Study Area |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | Inventory <br> (SF) | \% of County <br> Total Inventory | Inventory <br> (SF) | \% of <br> Study Area <br> Total Inventory | \% of County <br> Inventory by <br> Land Use |
| Office | $6,300,000$ | $17 \%$ | 100,000 | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Industrial | $18,000,000$ | $49 \%$ | $2,000,000$ | $91 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Flex | $3,500,000$ | $10 \%$ | 25,000 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Retail | $8,900,000$ | $24 \%$ | 75,000 | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| TOTAL INVENTORY | $36,700,000$ |  | $2,200,000$ |  |  |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: CoStar, Cedar Rapids City Assessor, C\&S Companies

## Stakeholder Engagement

We met, interviewed \&/or interacted with representatives from the following agencies, organizations and groups:

| STAKEHOLDER | SECTOR |
| :---: | :---: |
| Airport Commission Representatives |  |
| Airport Senior Staff |  | Client

## Data Sources

- CoStar Group with ESRI demographics
- City of Cedar Rapids - Eastern Iowa Airport
- Woods \& Poole Economics
- U.S. Census Bureau - Economic Census
- County Business Patterns
- Center for Economic Studies;

Local Employment Dynamics

- ULI Dollars \& Cents of Shopping Centers
- Cedar Rapids City Assessor's Office
- Cedar Rapids Metro Economic Alliance
- Iowa Economic Development Authority
- Iowa Workforce Development
- FAA, TRB, \& ACRP
- City of Cedar Rapids Community Development Department
- Linn County Planning \& Development Department
- City of Cedar Rapids Convention \& Visitor's Bureau
- Local \& regional business \& planning organizations \& utilities
- Various business journals, industry associations \& publications, commercial brokerage reports


## Existing Product Concentration: Study Area (min 25,000 SF)



Source: CoStar, Cedar Rapids City Assessor, C\&S Companies
Prevailing Development Pattern: Linn County ( $\mathbf{m i n} \mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ SF)


[^3]Vacant Land - designated office \&/or industrial use (15 AC min) Linn County


Study Area



1, 1 , $71-2,6,608$ Jobs/Sq.M10
$-1-2$ Jobs
$0.3-24$ Jobs
${ }_{-}^{-25-12150 \text { Jos }}$


Source: US Census Bureau 2011, C\&S Companies

| STUDY AREA KEY MARKET METRICS | CORPORATE OFFICE (min 25,000 SF) | INDUSTRIAL \& FLEX <br> (min 25,000 SF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total inventory | 70,000 SF | 2,000,000 SF |
| Average building size | 35,000 SF | 125,000 SF |
| Average age | 8 years | 10 years |
| Average parcel size | 3 acres | 3-10 acres |
| Prevailing scale of development | . 40 FAR | . 25 FAR |
| Annualized delivery | 3,500 SF | 122,000 SF |
| Prevailing market vacancy rates | worsening $\triangle$ | stabilizing $\rangle$ |
| Prevailing market rental rates | stabilizing 4 - | Improving $\boldsymbol{\triangle}$ |

## Sources of Demand

- Strongest projected employment growth through 2040 of any LCRPC Planning Area
- Estimated employment growth, 2013-2032 Office-using : 1.3\% average annual growth Industrial-using: 0.4\% average annual growth
- Inventory replacement from elsewhere in market
- Strategic relocations


## Competitive Inventory \& Development

- Hwy 100 (Hiawatha \& Marion)
- Hwy 30/I-380 and Westdale Mal
- Potentially others
- No planned, proposed or under-construction projects within study area


## Observations/Findings

- Approximately 1 office building of average size added to the study area every 10 years
- Approximately 1 industrial building of average size added to study area every year
- Study area office product is approximately $1.5 \%$ of countywide total (25,000 SF min)
- Study area industrial product is approximately $13 \%$ of countywide total ( $25,000 \mathrm{SF} \mathrm{min}$ )
- Large competitive inventory of fee simple property will likely absorb first
- Complexity of ground lease
- For study area, approximately 70,000 SF or $4 \pm$ acres of corporate office and 2.4 MM SF of industrial/flex or $224 \pm$ acres demand projected for the 20-year planning horizon


## Result

No appreciable office or industrial/flex demand captured on-site at CID
for the planning horizon


Traffic Volume: CID Vicinity


Source: MPSI Estimate

| HOTEL PROPERTIES BY YEAR BUILT CID Trade Area: 3-mile Radius |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Rooms | Opening Date | Chain Scale |
| Country Inn \& Suites | 74 | 1998 | Upper Midscale |
| Americlnn Lodge \& Suites Cedar Rapids | 62 | 2004 | Midscale |
| The Hotel at Kirkwood | 71 | 2010 | Independent |



| TRADE AREA KEY MARKET METRICS | CONVENIENCE RETAIL/RESTAURANT/SERVICES (2-mile radius) | HOTEL <br> (3-mile radius) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total inventory | 15,000 SF | 207 rooms |
| Average building size | 5,000 SF | 69 rooms |
| Average age | 8 years | 8 years |
| Typical parcel size | 1 acre | 3 acres (midscales only) |
| Prevailing scale of development | . $05-.10$ FAR | 31 rooms/acre (midscales only) |
| Annualized delivery | 1,300 SF | 15 rooms |
| Prevailing market vacancy rates | improving $\triangle$ | -- |
| Prevailing market rental rates | stabilizing 4 | -- |

## Sources of Demand

- Strongest projected employment growth through 2040 of any LCRPC Planning Area
- Local employment, residents \& airport passengers
- Business \& interstate travelers
- Significant passerby traffic on I-380


## Categories considered \& analyzed

- Interchange \& convenience -oriented retail, restaurant \& services
- Lodging accommodations


## Observations/Findings

- Significant retail and hotel competitive concentrations established elsewhere
- Smaller-scale, economical hotel product and interchange-style retail observed near CID
Approximately 1 retail property of average size added to trade area every 4 years
- About 1 hotel of average size added to trade area every 4.5 years with the most recent product delivered earlier this decade
- Study area retail product is less than $1 \%$ o countywide total (less than 25,000 SF)
Ample inventory of high visibility, fee simple property available proximate l-380 interchange and/or Wright Brothers Blvd
- Competitive advantage for study area is proximity/connectivity to I-380 and Creative Corridor and significant available land inventory
- For the study area, approximately 25,000 SF or $7.5 \pm$ acres of retail/restaurant/services demand and potential for up to 2 midscale hote properties at industry standard number-of-rooms projected for the 20 -year planning horizon


## Result

No supportable retail/restaurant/ services and/or hotel demand
captured on-site at CID


Evaluation criteria for potential uses /development concepts:

- Required market characteristics
- Market timing
- Scale of development
- Balancing airport and community goals
- Revenue yield potential
- Development character
- Land development profile
- Non-aeronautical land availability
- Infrastructure leveraging
- Economic incentives

Considered primary, aviation-related \& non-aviation uses
Explored other specialty uses/concepts for CID

- Data centers
- Large-scale exposition center

Customer service, sales and support centers

- Dealership and specialty equipment sales \& repair


## Observations/Findings

- Regional connectivity \& Creative Corridor centrality
- Strength of agriculture industry \& related industries
- Evolving role of and growing need for distribution and fulfillment centers
- Skilled workforce and responsive training as a competitive advantage but potential for limited availability and quantity as a disadvantage
Constraints exist with regard to comparative metrics for incentive thresholds and program breadth. Generaly, state and package are approximately $\$ 25$ M max. the state indicate packages of up to \$50 MM may be available in particular cases.


## Result

Potential market participation for JIT Fulfillment,
Distribution \& Logistics Centers and Agriculture (with limitations)
-
ces
COMPANIES ${ }^{\circ}$ INVESTIGATION: Site Certification Programs

## Site Certification Objectives

Complete-in-advance necessary site due diligence \& preparation to expedite project development timeline for target sectors

Reduce risks, delays \& costs associated with site selection \& the development process for new or expanding companies $\square$ Increase competitive advantage to attract high-impact projects, new investment \& employment to community

## Select Examples of Certified Sites \&/or Program Locations



IDEA State Certified Site Program Parameters

| Criteria | Mega Site | Super Site | Large Site | Super Park |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Contiguous Acreage | $1,000+$ | $500-999$ | $250-499$ | $500+$ with one <br> site $\geq 250$ |
| Minimum Developable <br> Acreage | $800+$ | $400-799$ | $200-399$ | $\geq 200$ ac. of 250 <br> ac. site, $80 \%$ of <br> rest of park <br> acreage |
| Rail Required | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Highway/Interstate Access | 5 miles | 5 miles | 10 miles | 5 miles |
| Utilities (water, <br> wastewater, natural gas) |  |  |  |  |
| Source: McCallum Sweeny Consulting; C\&S Companies |  |  |  |  |

Key Factors and Considerations for Site Readiness \&/or Certification


## Observations/Findings

- CID has approximately 600 acres of continuous non-aviation-related property as identified in the draft Master Plan (May 2013)
- Large competitive inventory of certified sites \&/or site-ready programs exists nationally
- Strong preference by users for land ownership, if not required - FAA property use constraints likely in place which restrict ability to meet this criterion
- Competitive attraction packages typically include:
o Land contribution or write-down
o Economic \& tax incentives
o Highly skilled workforce and training programs
o Superior transportation access
o Quality of life
o Strong commitment by state/local government for cooperation, assistance and expedience in development process
o Due diligence completed and any mitigation documented
- No critical mass or supply chain established for traditional, prominent mega-site users (e.g. auto, aircraft)
- Best potential for large site user likely related to agricultural, energy and/or distribution / logistics industries.


## Result

No eminent or apparent opportunity for large-scale user development onsite. Given FAA regulation and airport operations, CID property not easily aligned with State site certification program requirements.

CGR
COMPANIES ${ }^{\circ}$

## AIRPORT ACTIVITY CENTER

Land Use \& Connectivity Relationships


Challenges to on-airport commercial development due to FAA-imposed property constraints:

- Requires review \& approval of commercial leases
- Restricts uses \& sale of property
- Requires fair market value rents be obtained through ground leases of commercial property
- Nature of non-subordinated ground lease makes development projects difficult to finance
o Ground leases require lengthy terms, generally 30 or more years


## Observations/Findings

- Misperceptions exist as to competitive position of airport property for commercial development (feesimple vs. airport-controlled)
- CID as hub \& center-point of Creative Corridor
- Opportunity for collaboration between CID \& community through broader activity center planning


## Recommendations

- Formalize \& market airport-centric activity center promoting access and visibility
- Promote synergies among airport, existing/target industries in activity center \& Creative Corridor
- Leverage infrastructure \& planned/proposed improvements to brand cohesive "gateway" and activity center
- Consider/adopt district plan to implement gateway approach, maintain compatible uses and target desired uses
- Capitalize on existing large footprint, agricultural leases and preserve flexibility to be market
responsive to catalytic opportunities/development


## General Limiting Conditions

C \& S Engineers, Inc. ("C\&S") has made every reasonable effort to ensure the accuracy of data contained in this document; however, factors beyond the control of C\&S exist and may affect the estimates/projections included herein. Our documentation is based on estimates, assumptions and other information developed by C\&S from its independent primary research, industry knowledge, and data/information provided by and through discussions with the client and the client's representatives/consultants. No responsibility is assumed for inaccuracies reported to us by the client or the client's representatives/consultants, or any other data source used in the preparation or presentation of this document. This document is based on information that was current as of its date and C\&S has not conducted any update of its research since such date nor does C\&S have any obligation to update this document to reflect new data/information made available subsequent to this document's date of publication. The estimates, projections and/or results contained within this document may be affected by future circumstances and events which are not known at the date of publication and therefore C\&S does not warrant nor represent that the estimates, projections nor results will actually be achieved.

Possession of this document does not entitle possessor to any right to publish the document or to use the name of C\&S or any of its related or affiliated entities or trademarks in any manner without first obtaining the prior written consent of C\&S. No abstracting, summarization or excerpting of this document may be made without obtaining prior written consent from C\&S. C\&S has served solely in the capacity of consultant and has not rendered any expert opinions. This document is not to be used in conjunction with any public or private offering of any securities, debt, equity, or other similar purpose where it may be relied upon to any degree by any person other than the client, nor is any third-party entitled to rely upon this document, without obtaining the prior written consent of C\&S. This document may not be used for purposes other than those for which it was prepared and intended or for which prior written consent has been obtained from C\&S. Any changes made to this document, or any use of the document not specifically prescribed under agreement between the parties or otherwise expressly approved by C\&S, shall be at the sole risk of the party making such changes or adopting such use.

This document is qualified in its entirety by, and should be considered in light of, these limitations, conditions and considerations.
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Airport Layout Plan
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[^2]:    CHART 1

[^3]:    Source: CoStar, C\&S Companies

